▲ロト ▲冊ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨー の々ぐ

Recurrence criteria for diffusion processes generated by divergence free perturbations of non-symmetric energy forms

Gerald Trutnau (Seoul National University)

Joint work with Minjung Gim September 3, 2015

(日)

## Contents

- 1. Analytic characterization of recurrence
- 2. Connection to recurrence in the classical probabilistic sense
- 3. Application to a class of diffusions on Euclidean space
- 4. Examples and Counterexamples

# 1. Analytic characterization of recurrence

- *E* = Hausdorff topological space
- $\mathcal{B}(E) = \sigma(C(E))$
- $\mu$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure on  $\mathcal{B}(E)$  with full support

(H1)  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  is a submarkovian  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions on  $L^2(E, \mu)$ (H2) The adjoint semigroup  $(\hat{T}_t)_{t>0}$  on  $L^2(E, \mu)$  is also submarkovian

Let (L, D(L)) be the  $L^2(E, \mu)$ -generator of  $(T_t)_{t>0}$ , i.e.

$$D(L) = \{u \in L^2(E,\mu) \mid \exists Lu := \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{T_t u - u}{t} \text{ in } L^2(E,\mu)\}$$

 $(\hat{L}, D(\hat{L}))$  adjoint operator of (L, D(L)) in  $L^2(E, \mu)$ .

(L, D(L)) induces a generalized Dirichlet form

$$\mathcal{E}(u,v) := \begin{cases} -\int Lu \cdot v \, d\mu; & u \in D(L), \ v \in L^2(E,\mu) \\ -\int u \cdot \hat{L}v \, d\mu; & u \in L^2(E,\mu), \ v \in D(\hat{L}). \end{cases}$$

In general:

- (L, D(L)) needs not be symmetric, i.e.  $(L, D(L)) = (\hat{L}, D(\hat{L}))$
- (L, D(L)) needs not be sectorial, e.g.

$$\left|\int Lu \cdot v \, d\mu\right| \leq \operatorname{const} \cdot \sqrt{-\int Lu \cdot u \, d\mu} \cdot \sqrt{-\int Lv \cdot v \, d\mu}.$$

**Remark:** If  $(\mathcal{E}, D(L))$  is sectorial, then it is closable in  $L^2(E, \mu)$  and the closure  $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$  is a sectorial Dirichlet form on  $L^2(E, \mu)$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

 $(T_t)_{t>0}$ .

▲ロ ▶ ▲ 理 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ■ ● ● ● ● ●

Let  $(G_{\alpha})_{\alpha>0}$ ,  $(\hat{G}_{\alpha})_{\alpha>0}$ , be the  $L^{2}(E,\mu)$ -resolvents of  $(T_{t})_{t>0}$ ,  $(\hat{T}_{t})_{t\geq0}$ .

 $(\hat{T}_t)_{t>0}$  is submarkovian  $\Leftrightarrow (T_t)_{t>0}$  is an  $L^1(E,\mu)$ -contraction

 $\sim (T_t)_{t>0}$  can be defined as a  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions on  $L^1(E,\mu)$ .

# Definition For $f \in L^{1}(E, \mu)$ , $f \ge 0 \mu$ -a.e. $Gf(x) := \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{0}^{N} T_{t}f(x)dt = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \underbrace{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha t} T_{t}f(x)dt}_{=G_{\alpha}f(x)} (\le \infty)$ is uniquely defined $\mu$ -a.e. G is called potential operator associated with

Since  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  is sub-Markovian,  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  and its potential operator G can also be defined on  $L^{\infty}(E, \mu)$ .

#### Definition

(i)  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  (or also  $\mathcal{E}$ ) is recurrent, if for any  $f \in L^1(E, \mu)$  with  $f \ge 0$   $\mu$ -a.e., we have

Gf = 0 or 
$$\infty$$
  $\mu$ -a.e.

(ii)  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  (or also  $\mathcal{E}$ ) is transient, if there exists  $g \in L^1(E, \mu)$  with g > 0 $\mu$ -a.e. such that

$$\textit{Gg} < \infty \ \mu$$
-a.e.

(iii)  $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$  is weakly invariant w.r.t.  $(T_t)_{t>0}$ , if for any t > 0,  $f \in L^2(E, \mu)$ 

$$T_t(f1_B)(x) = 0$$
  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in E \setminus B$ 

(iv)  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  is strictly irreducible, if for any weakly invariant set B relative to  $(T_t)_{t>0}$ ,  $\mu(B) = 0$  or  $\mu(E \setminus B) = 0$ .

▲ロト ▲冊 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ● の へ ()

#### Remark (cf. e.g. [Kuwae, 2010])

- (a)  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  is transient, if and only if  $Gf < \infty \mu$ -a.e. for any  $f \in L^1(E, \mu)$  with  $f \ge 0 \mu$ -a.e.
- (b) If g ∈ L<sup>1</sup>(E, μ) with g > 0 μ-a.e., then {x ∈ E : Gg(x) = ∞} is a weakly invariant set relative to (T<sub>t</sub>)<sub>t>0</sub>. Consequently, if (T<sub>t</sub>)<sub>t>0</sub> is strictly irreducible, then it is either transient or recurrent.

(c) If there exists a strictly positive measurable function  $(p_t(x, y))_{x,y \in E}$  with

$$T_t f(x) = \int_E p_t(x, y) f(y) \mu(dy)$$

for any  $x \in E$ ,  $f \in L^2(E, \mu)$ , then  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  is strictly irreducible.

#### Theorem (Gim/Trutnau, 2015)

If there exists a sectorial Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$  with  $D(L)_b \subset D(\mathcal{E}^0)$  and

 $\mathcal{E}^0(u,u) \leq \mathcal{E}(u,u)$  for any  $u \in D(L)_b$ ,

then the transience of  $(\mathcal{E}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$  implies the transience of  $(T_t)_{t>0}$ .

#### Remark

If  $(\mathcal{E}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$  is a sectorial Dirichlet form on  $L^2(E, \mu)$ , then its symmetric part  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$  is a symmetric Dirichlet form on  $L^2(E, \mu)$ . By the Theorem, we obtain: a sectorial Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$  is transient, if and only if  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$  is transient.

#### Lemma (Gim/Tr, 2015)

If  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  is transient, then there exists a function  $g \in L^1(E, \mu)_b$  with g > 0 $\mu$ -a.e. and  $\|Gg\|_{L^{\infty}(\mu)} < \infty$ .

(H3) There exist a sectorial Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$  with  $D(L)_b \subset D(\mathcal{E}^0)$  and a linear operator (N, D(N)) on  $L^2(E, \mu)$  such that

$$\mathcal{E}(u,v) = \mathcal{E}^{0}(u,v) + \int_{E} u \cdot Nv \, d\mu, \quad u \in D(L)_{b}, \quad v \in D(N) \cap D(\mathcal{E}^{0})$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}^{0}(u,u) \leq \mathcal{E}(u,u), \ u \in D(L)_{b}.$$

The **extended Dirichlet** space of  $D(\mathcal{E}^0)$  is defined as the set of all functions u for which there exists an  $\mathcal{E}^0$ -Cauchy sequence  $(u_n)_{n\geq 1} \subset D(\mathcal{E}^0)$  such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} u_n = u \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

(see [Oshima, Semi-Dirichlet forms, 2013]). Since the Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$  is sectorial, for *u* in the extended Dirichlet space,

$$\mathcal{E}^{0}(u,u) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{E}^{0}(u_n,u_n)$$

is independent of the choice of  $(u_n)_{n\geq 1} \subset D(\mathcal{E}^0)$ .

Theorem (Gim/Trutnau, 2015)

Suppose  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  is transient and let  $g \in L^1(E, \mu)_b$  with g > 0  $\mu$ -a.e. and  $\|Gg\|_{L^{\infty}(\mu)} < \infty$ . Then Gg is in the extended Dirichlet space of  $D(\mathcal{E}^0)$  and

$$(g, u) = \underbrace{\mathcal{E}^{0}(Gg, u)}_{:=\lim_{\alpha \to 0} \mathcal{E}^{0}(G_{\alpha g}, u)} + \int_{E} Gg \cdot Nu \, d\mu$$

for any  $u \in D := \{u \in D(N) \cap D(\mathcal{E}^0) : Nu \in L^1(E, \mu)\}.$ 

▲ロト ▲冊 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ● の へ ()

#### Corollary (Gim/Tr, 2015)

 (a) If there exists a sequence of functions (χ<sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub> ⊂ D with 0 ≤ χ<sub>n</sub> ≤ 1, lim<sub>n→∞</sub> χ<sub>n</sub> = 1 μ-a.e. satisfying

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\mathcal{E}^0(f,\chi_n)+\int_E f\cdot N\chi_n\,d\mu\right)=0,$$

for any non-negative bounded f (so in part. for f = Gg) in the extended Dirichlet space of  $D(\mathcal{E}^0)$ , then  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  is not transient.

(b) If (T<sub>t</sub>)<sub>t>0</sub> is strictly irreducible, then (a) represents a sufficient condition for recurrence of (T<sub>t</sub>)<sub>t>0</sub>, because if (T<sub>t</sub>)<sub>t>0</sub> is strictly irreducible, then it is either transient or recurrent.

# 2. Connection to recurrence in the classical probabilistic sense

Let  $\mathbb{M} = (\Omega, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \ge 0}, (X_t)_{t \ge 0}, (\mathbb{P}_x)_{x \in E_{\Delta}})$  with life time  $\zeta$  be a strong Markov process with state space E, resolvent

$$R_{\alpha}f(x) := \mathbb{E}_{x}\Big[\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha t}f(X_{t})dt\Big], \ x \in E, \ \alpha > 0, \ f \in B(E)_{b}$$

and transition semigroup

$$p_t f(x) := \mathbb{E}_x \Big[ f(X_t) \Big], \ x \in E, \ t > 0, \ f \in B(E)_b.$$

Suppose that the process  $\mathbb M$  is associated with  $\mathcal E$ , i.e.

$$\begin{array}{l} R_{\alpha}f = G_{\alpha}f \\ p_{t}f = T_{t}f \end{array} \right\} \quad \mu \text{-a.e. for any } \alpha > 0, \ t > 0, \ f \in B(E)_{b} \cup L^{2}(E,\mu)_{b} \end{array}$$

In particular  $f \in L^1(E,\mu)$ ,  $f \ge 0 \mu$ -a.e.

$$Gf(x) = \mathbb{E}_{x}\Big[\int_{0}^{\infty} f(X_{t})dt\Big].$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 田 ト ・ 日 ・ ・ 今 の く

 $(T_t)_{t>0}$  is **recurrent**, if for any  $f \in L^1(E, \mu)$  with f > 0  $\mu$ -a.e., we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{x}\Big[\int_{0}^{\infty}f(X_{t})dt\Big]=\infty \ \mu ext{-a.e.} \ x\in E.$$

 $(T_t)_{t>0}$  is transient, if there exists  $g \in L^1(E,\mu)$  with g>0  $\mu$ -a.e., such that

$$\mathbb{E}_x\Big[\int_0^\infty g(X_t)dt\Big] < \infty \ \mu$$
-a.e.  $x \in E$ .

 $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$  is weakly invariant relative to  $(T_t)_{t>0}$ , if for any t > 0,

$$\mathbb{E}_{x}\Big[1_{B}(X_{t})\Big]=0$$
  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x\in E\setminus B$ .

Define the **first hitting** time of  $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$  by

$$\sigma_B(\omega) := \inf\{t > 0: X_t(\omega) \in B\}$$

and the last exit time from  $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$  by

$$L_B(\omega) := \sup\{t \ge 0: X_t(\omega) \in B\} \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty}.$$

Proposition (following [Getoor, 1980])

(a) (T<sub>t</sub>)<sub>t>0</sub> is transient, if and only if there exists a sequence of Borel finely open sets (B<sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub> increasing to E up to some μ-negligible set N such that for any x ∈ E \ N, n ≥ 1

 $\mathbb{P}_x(L_{B_n} < \infty) = 1.$ 

(b) If  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  is strictly irreducible recurrent, then  $\mathbb{P}_x(\zeta = \infty) = 1$  for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in E$  and for any non  $\mu$ -polar<sup>a</sup> finely open set  $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ 

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}(L_{B}=\infty)=1$$
  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in E$ .

<sup>a</sup>B is  $\mu$ -polar if  $\int_F P_x(\sigma_B < \infty)\mu(dx) = 0$ 

▲ロ ▶ ▲ 理 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ■ ● ● ● ● ●

If  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  is strictly irreducible and recurrent, then for any B open,  $B \neq \emptyset$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}(\underbrace{\{L_{B}=\infty\}}_{=:\Lambda})=1 \quad \text{for} \quad \mu\text{-a.e.} \ x \in E$$

Assume that the **semigroup**  $p_t$  of  $\mathbb{M}$  is strong Feller in the following sense: there exists a measurable function  $(p_t(x, y))_{t>0, x, y \in E}$  with

$$p_tf(x) = \int_E p_t(x,y)f(y)\mu(dy)$$
 for any  $x \in E, \ f \in B(E)_b$ 

and

$$p_t f$$
 is continuous for any  $f \in B(E)_b$ .

Since  $\Lambda$  is shift invariant, we get for  $x \in E$ 

$$\mathbb{P}_{x}(\Lambda) = \mathbb{P}_{x}(\vartheta_{t}^{-1}(\Lambda)) = \mathbb{E}_{x}[\mathbb{E}_{x}[1_{\Lambda} \circ \vartheta_{t} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}]] = \mathbb{E}_{x}[\mathbb{E}_{X_{t}}[1_{\Lambda}]] = p_{t}\mathbb{E}_{\cdot}[1_{\Lambda}](x)$$

hence since  $\mu$  has full support

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\Lambda) = 1$$
 for any  $x \in E$ .

## 3. Application to a class of diffusions on Euclidean space

- $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  open or closed with  $dx(\partial E) = 0$
- $d\mu := \rho dx$ , where  $\rho \in L^1_{loc}(E, dx)$  with  $\rho > 0$  dx-a.e.
- A = (a<sub>ij</sub>) ∈ L<sup>1</sup><sub>loc</sub>(E, μ) 1 ≤ i, j ≤ d and for each relatively compact open set V ⊂ E, there exists ν<sub>V</sub> > 0 such that

$$u_V^{-1} |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^d \widetilde{a}_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \nu_V |\xi|^2$$
 (locally elliptic)

for all 
$$\xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
,  $x \in V$ .

Suppose

$$\mathcal{E}^0(f,g) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_E a_{ij}(x) \partial_i f(x) \partial_j g(x) \mu(dx) \ f,g \in C_0^\infty(E).$$

is closable on  $L^2(E,\mu)$  and that  $(\mathcal{E}^0, C_0^{\infty}(E))$  satisfies the **strong sector** condition, i.e. there is a constant K > 0 such that

$$|\mathcal{E}^{0}(f,g)| \leq K\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{0}(f,f)}\sqrt{\mathcal{E}^{0}(g,g)} \qquad \forall f,g \in C_{0}^{\infty}(E).$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲ 理 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ■ ● ● ● ● ●

Denote the closure of  $(\mathcal{E}^0, C_0^{\infty}(E))$  on  $L^2(E, \mu)$  by  $(\mathcal{E}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$ .

 $\sim (\mathcal{E}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$  non-symmetric regular sectorial Dirichlet form on  $L^2(E, \mu)$ .

Let  $(L^0, D(L^0))$  be the linear operator corresponding to  $(\mathcal{E}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$  on  $L^2(E, \mu)$ .

For any  $V \subset \subset E$ ,  $(\mathcal{E}^0, C_0^{\infty}(V))$  is closable on  $L^2(V, \mu)$ .

Denote its closure by  $(\mathcal{E}^{0,V}, D(\mathcal{E}^{0,V}))$ , then  $D(\mathcal{E}^{0,V}) \subset D(\mathcal{E}^{0})$  and

$$D(\mathcal{E}^{0,E}) := \bigcup_{V \subset \subset E} D(\mathcal{E}^{0,V}) \subset D(\mathcal{E}^{0}).$$

Let  $B(x) := (B_1(x), \dots, B_d(x)) \in L^2_{loc}(E, \mathbb{R}^d, \mu)$  satisfy  $\int_E \langle B(x), \nabla f(x) \rangle \mu(dx) = 0 \quad \text{ for any } f \in C_0^{\infty}(E),$ 

hence for any  $f \in D(\mathcal{E}^{0,E})$ .

▲ロト ▲冊 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ● の へ ()

Using a similar technique as in [Stannat, 1999], we get:

#### Lemma (Gim/Tr, 2015)

There exists a closed operator  $(\overline{L}, D(\overline{L}))$  on  $L^1(E, \mu)$  which is the generator of sub-Markovian  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions  $(\overline{T}_t)_{t>0}$  satisfying the following properties:

- (a)  $(\overline{L}, D(\overline{L}))$  is a closed extension of  $Lu = L^0u + \langle B, \nabla u \rangle$ ,  $u \in D(L^0)_{0,b}$  on  $L^1(E, \mu)$ .
- (b)  $D(\overline{L})_b \subset D(\mathcal{E}^0)$  and for  $u \in D(\overline{L})_b$ ,  $v \in D(\mathcal{E}^{0,E})_b$ , we have

$$\mathcal{E}^{0}(u,v) - \int_{E} \langle B, \nabla u \rangle v d\mu = - \int_{E} \overline{L} u \cdot v \, d\mu$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}^{0}(u,u)\leq-\int_{E}\overline{L}u\cdot u\,d\mu.$$

Denote the  $C_0$ -resolvent of  $(\overline{L}, D(\overline{L}))$  by  $(\overline{G}_{\alpha})_{\alpha>0}$ .

Since  $(\overline{T}_t)_{t>0}$  is a sub-Markovian  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions on  $L^1(E,\mu)$ and  $L^1(E,\mu)_b \subset L^2(E,\mu)$  densely, we can construct uniquely a sub-Markovian  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  on  $L^2(E,\mu)$  such that  $T_t \equiv \overline{T}_t$  for t>0on  $L^1(E,\mu) \cap L^2(E,\mu)$  (cf. the Riesz-Thorin interpolation Theorem).

Let (L, D(L)) be the generator of  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  and  $(G_{\alpha})_{\alpha>0}$  be the corresponding  $C_0$ -resolvent. Clearly,  $G_{\alpha} \equiv \overline{G}_{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha > 0$  on  $L^1(E, \mu) \cap L^2(E, \mu)$ .

Let  $(\widehat{L}, D(\widehat{L}))$  be the adjoint operator of (L, D(L)) in  $L^2(E, \mu)$ . Then

$$\mathcal{E}(f,g) := \left\{ egin{array}{ll} (-Lf,g) & f \in D(L), \ g \in L^2(E,\mu), \ (-\widehat{L}g,f) & g \in D(\widehat{L}), \ f \in L^2(E,\mu), \end{array} 
ight.$$

satisfies (H1). Clearly (H2) holds since (L, D(L)) satisfies the same assumptions as  $(\widehat{L}, D(\widehat{L}))$ .

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、(E)、(Q)、(Q)

In particular, the bilinear form  ${\mathcal E}$  is an extension of

$$\int_{E} \langle A \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle d\mu - \int_{E} \langle B, \nabla f \rangle g d\mu, \quad f, g \in C_{0}^{\infty}(E) \cap D(L^{0}).$$

Put

$$Nv := \langle B, \nabla v \rangle, \ v \in D(N) := D(\mathcal{E}^{0, E})_b.$$

Then

$$D = D(N) \cap D(\mathcal{E}^0) = D(\mathcal{E}^{0,E})_b$$

and  $\mathcal{E}$  satisfies assumption (H3), i.e.

$$\mathcal{E}^{0}(u,u) \leq \mathcal{E}(u,u), \ u \in D(L)_{b}$$

and

$$(-Lu, v) = \mathcal{E}^{0}(u, v) + \int_{E} \langle B, \nabla v \rangle u d\mu, \ u \in D(L)_{b}, \ v \in D.$$

By the results of 1. we get:

## Corollary (Gim/Tr, 2015)

- (a) If  $(\mathcal{E}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$  is transient, then  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  is also transient.
- (b) If there exists a sequence of functions (χ<sub>n</sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub> ⊂ D with 0 ≤ χ<sub>n</sub> ≤ 1, lim<sub>n→∞</sub> χ<sub>n</sub> = 1 μ-a.e. satisfying

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left( \mathcal{E}^0(g,\chi_n) + \int_E \langle B,\nabla\chi_n\rangle g d\mu \right) = 0 \qquad (\star$$

for any non-negative bounded g in the extended Dirichlet space of  $D(\mathcal{E}^0)$ , then  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  is not transient.

#### Remark

(\*) holds, if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\mathcal{E}^0(\chi_n,\chi_n)+\int_E|\langle B,\nabla\chi_n\rangle|d\mu\right)=0,$$

Furthermore, since -B satisfies the same assumptions as B, the co-form is then also not transient.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = 差 = 釣�?

▲ロト ▲冊 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ● の へ ()

#### Theorem (Gim/Tr, 2015)

If  $(\overline{T}_t)_{t>0}$  is recurrent, then there exists a sequence of functions  $(\chi_n)_{n\geq 1}$  in  $D(\overline{L})_b$  with  $0 \leq \chi_n \leq 1$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \chi_n = 1$   $\mu$ -a.e. satisfying

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(-\overline{L}\chi_n,\chi_n)=0.$$

Furthermore,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} -\overline{L}\chi_n = 0$   $\mu$ -a.e. and in  $L^1(E,\mu)$ . In particular,  $(\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$  is recurrent, i.e.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^0(\chi_n, \chi_n) = 0$ .

#### Corollary

If  $(\overline{T}_t)_{t>0}$  is recurrent, then it is conservative, i.e. for all t > 0,  $\overline{T}_t 1 = 1 \mu$ -a.e..

▲ロ ▶ ▲ 理 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ■ ● ● ● ● ●

# Explicit conditions for recurrence

Assume that there exists a non-negative continuous function  $\phi(x)$  on E with

$$abla \phi \in L^\infty_{\mathit{loc}}({\it E}, {\mathbb R}^d, \mu)$$

such that for r > 0

$$E_r := \{x \in E : \phi(x) < r\}$$

is a relatively compact open set in E and  $\bigcup_{r>0} E_r = E$ . (For instance, if E is closed or  $E = \mathbb{R}^d$ , we may choose  $\phi(x) = |x|$ .)

Define for r > 0,

$$v(r) := \underbrace{\int_{E_r} \langle A(x) \nabla \phi(x), \nabla \phi(x) \rangle \mu(dx)}_{=:v_1(r)} + \underbrace{\int_{E_r} \phi(x) \cdot |\langle B(x), \nabla \phi(x) \rangle |\mu(dx)}_{=:v_2(r)}$$

### Theorem (Gim/Tr, 2015)

Assume that  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  is strictly irreducible. If the sequence  $a_n$  defined by

$$a_n := \int_1^n \frac{r}{v(r)} dr$$

satisfies  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = \infty$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log(v_2(n)\vee 1)}{a_n} = 0$ , then  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  is recurrent.

#### Proof.

Define  $\chi_n(x) := \psi_n(\phi(x))$ , where for r > 0

$$\psi_n(r) := \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \le r \le 1, \\ 1 - \frac{1}{a_n} \int_1^r \frac{t}{v(t)} dt & 1 \le r \le n, \\ 0 & r \ge n. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\chi_n \in D(\mathcal{E}^{0,\mathcal{E}})_b$ ,  $0 \le \chi_n \le 1$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \chi_n = 1$   $\mu$ -a.e. and

$$\mathcal{E}^0(\chi_n,\chi_n) + \int_E |\langle B,\nabla\chi_n\rangle| d\mu \leq \frac{2}{a_n} + \frac{1}{a_n^2 v(1)} + \frac{\log(v_2(n) \vee 1)}{a_n}$$

▲ロト ▲冊ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト - ヨー の々ぐ

#### Corollary

Assume that  $(T_t)_{t>0}$  is strictly irreducible. The conditions of the Theorem are satisfied if one of the following conditions is fulfilled for sufficiently large r and some constant C > 0:

(a) 
$$v_1(r) \leq Cr^2$$
 and  $v_2(r) \leq C \log r$ 

(b)  $v(r) \leq Cr^{\alpha}$  for some constant  $\alpha < 2$ .

# 4. Examples and Counterexamples

## Counterexamples to the symmetric case

•  $E = \mathbb{R}$ 

•  $d\mu := \varphi(x)dx, \varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is locally bounded above and below by strictly positive constants,  $\varphi' \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ 

•  $(\mathcal{E}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$  is given as the closure of

$$\mathcal{E}^0(f,g):=rac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}f'(x)g'(x)\mu(dx), \ f,g\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$$

on  $L^2(\mathbb{R},\mu)$ .

• 
$$B(x) := \frac{const.}{\varphi} \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}, d\mu)$$
 (is  $\mu$ -divergence free)

Then, using the construction method of 3. one can see that (H1)-(H3) are satisfied with  $D := D(\mathcal{E}^0)_{0,b}$ . The constructed  $\mathcal{E}$  is an extension of

$$\mathcal{E}(f,g)=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}f'(x)g'(x)\mu(dx)-\int_{\mathbb{R}}B(x)f'(x)g(x)\,\mu(dx),\ f,g\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 田 ト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Moreover

 $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})\subset D(L^0)_{0,b}\subset D(L)_{0,b}$ 

and

$$Lu = \frac{1}{2}u'' + \left(\frac{\varphi'}{2\varphi} + B\right)u', \quad u \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}).$$

We consider three explicit cases:

(a) 
$$\varphi(x) = e^{-|x|}, \ B = \frac{1}{2}e^{|x|}$$
 (b)  $\varphi(x) = \inf(1, \frac{1}{|x|}), \ B = \frac{b}{\varphi},$   
(c)  $\varphi(x) \equiv 1, \ B \equiv b.$ 

In all explicit cases, choose  $(\chi_n)_{n\geq 1} \subset C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $1_{B_n(0)} \leq \chi_n \leq 1_{B_{2n}(0)}$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \chi_n = 1, \ 0 \leq \chi_n \nearrow 1\mu$ -a.e. and  $\|\chi'_n\|_{L^{\infty}(\mu)} \leq 2/n$ . Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(-L\chi_n,\chi_n)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathcal{E}(\chi_n,\chi_n)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\underbrace{\mathcal{E}^0(\chi_n,\chi_n)}_{\leq \frac{const.}{n}}=0.$$

and so  $(\mathcal{E}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$  is recurrent, but we will see  $\mathcal{E}$  is not recurrent.

#### Idea : Symmetrize $\mathcal{E}$

We have

$$\mathcal{E}(u,v) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} Lu \cdot v \underbrace{\varphi}_{=\mu} dx.$$

We can find (a symmetrizing measure)  $\tilde{\mu}$ , such that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(u,v) := -\int_{\mathbb{R}} Lu \cdot v \, d\widetilde{\mu} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} u \cdot Lv \, d\widetilde{\mu}, \; \forall u, v \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$$

and such that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$  coincides with a sym. Dirichlet form  $(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}, D(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}))$  on  $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Moreover, if

$$(\mathcal{G}_{lpha})_{lpha>0}\leftrightarrow\mathcal{E}$$
 and  $(\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{lpha})_{lpha>0}\leftrightarrow(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}},D(\widetilde{\mathcal{E}})),$ 

then

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha}f = \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\alpha}f, \ \forall f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R},\mu) \cap L^{2}(\mathbb{R},\widetilde{\mu}).$$

**Conclusion** :  $\mathcal{E}$  is recurrent (transient)  $\iff \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$  is recurrent (transient).

This idea works with

$$\widetilde{\varphi}(x) := \exp\Big(\int_0^x \frac{\varphi'(s) + 2b}{\varphi(s)} ds\Big) = \varphi(x) \exp\Big(\int_0^x \frac{2b}{\varphi(s)} ds\Big).$$

Note that our form  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{E}}$  is

$$\mathcal{E}(f,g) := \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f'g' \varphi dx}_{=\mathcal{E}^0(f,g)} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} Bf'g \varphi dx, \ f,g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}).$$

In case of:

(a) 
$$\varphi(x) = e^{-|x|}$$
,  $B(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{|x|}$ , we have  

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\widetilde{\varphi}(x)} dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^x}{\exp(e^x - 1)} dx = 1.$$

 $\implies \mathcal{E} \text{ is not recurrent by scale function arguments (cf. e.g book Mandl).}$  $\implies \mathcal{E} \text{ is not recurrent.}$ 

Moreover,  ${\cal E}$  is not conservative and does not satisfy the weak sector condition,

$$\sup_{u,v\in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \frac{|\mathcal{E}(u,v)|}{\|u\|_{D(\mathcal{E}^0)} \|v\|_{D(\mathcal{E}^0)}} = \infty.$$

(b)  $\varphi(x) = \inf(1, \frac{1}{|x|}), \ B(x) = \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{-1}(x),$ 

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\widetilde{\varphi}(x)} dx < 1 + \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{\exp(\frac{x^2+1}{2})} dx < \infty.$$

 $\implies \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \text{ is not recurrent} \implies \mathcal{E} \text{ is not recurrent}.$ 

Here  $\mathcal{E}$  is conservative and does not satisfy the strong sector condition, i.e.

$$\sup_{u,v\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})}\frac{|\mathcal{E}(u,v)|}{\mathcal{E}^0(u,u)^{1/2}\mathcal{E}^0(v,v)^{1/2}}=\infty.$$

(but we do not know whether the weak sector condition is satisfied).

(c)  $\varphi(x) \equiv 1$ ,  $B(x) \equiv b > 0$  then

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\widetilde{\varphi}(x)} dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\exp(2bx)} dx = \frac{1}{2b}$$

 $\implies \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \text{ is not recurrent} \implies \mathcal{E} \text{ is not recurrent}.$ 

Here  $\mathcal{E}$  is conservative and satisfies the weak sector condition but not the strong sector condition (otherwise  $\mathcal{E}^0$  is transient, since  $\mathcal{E}$  strictly irreducible).

# **Muckenhoupt weights**

Let

• 
$$E = \mathbb{R}^d$$
,  $d \ge 2$ 

•  $d\mu := \rho(x)dx$ ,  $\rho > 0 dx$ -a.e. such that

$$\mathcal{E}^0(f,g) := rac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle 
abla f, 
abla g 
angle \mu(\mathit{dx}), \ f,g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

is closable on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d,\mu)$  with closure  $(\mathcal{E}^0,D(\mathcal{E}^0)).$ 

•  $B \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d, \mu)$  is  $\mu$ -divergence free and there exist M > 0 and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$|\langle B(x),x\rangle| \leq M(1+|x|)^{lpha}$$

for sufficiently large |x|.

Then as in 3. we can construct a generalized Dirichlet form  ${\cal E}$  satisfying (H1)-(H3) and which is an extension of

$$\mathcal{E}(f,g)=rac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\langle 
abla f,
abla g
angle \mu(dx)-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\langle B(x),
abla f(x)
angle g(x)\mu(dx),\;f,g\in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ・ うへつ

#### Definition

Let  $\psi \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\psi > 0$  dx-a.e. and A a positive constant

 (i) ψ is called a Muckenhoupt A<sub>β</sub>-weight (in notation ψ ∈ A<sub>β</sub>), β ∈ (1,2], if for every ball B ⊂ ℝ<sup>d</sup>,

$$\left(\int_{B}\psi dx\right)\left(\int_{B}\psi^{-\frac{1}{\beta-1}}dx\right)\leq A\left(\int_{B}1\,dx\right)^{2}.$$

(ii)  $\psi$  is called a Muckenhoupt  $A_1$ -weight (in notation  $\psi \in A_1$ ), if for every ball  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  $\left(\int_B \psi dx\right) \operatorname{ess. sup}_{x \in B} \frac{1}{\psi(x)} \leq A \int_B 1 dx.$ 

For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{\beta}$ ,  $\beta \in [1,2]$ , the closability follows since

$$\mathcal{A}_eta \subset \mathcal{A}_2 \; \; ext{and} \; \; rac{1}{arphi} \in L^1_{\mathit{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d, d\mathsf{x}) \; \; \; orall arphi \in \mathcal{A}_2.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□

(a): Let d = 2 and  $\rho$  be a Muckenhoupt  $A_1$ -weight.

Then for sufficiently large r > 1

$$v_1(r) = \mu(B_r) \leq Ar^2$$

and

$$v_2(r) = \int_{B_r} |\langle B(x), x \rangle| 
ho(x) dx \leq C(1+r)^{lpha+2}$$

By the last Corollary of 3. if  $\alpha \leq -2$ , then  $\mathcal{E}$  is not transient.

・ロト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ・ うへつ

(b): Let  $\varphi$  be a Muckenhoupt  $A_{\beta}$ -weight with  $1 \leq \beta \leq 2$ . Then for r > 1 and some constant A, we have that

$$v_1(r) \sim A r^{eta d}$$

and by resuts of [Sturm 96, AOLDS III.] ( $\mathcal{E}^0$ ,  $D(\mathcal{E}^0)$ ) admits a strictly positive heat kernel  $(p_t^0(x, y))_{t>0, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d}$ . Hence  $(\mathcal{E}^0, D(\mathcal{E}^0))$  is strictly irreducible. By the Corollary again,

 $\beta d > 2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}^0$  is transient  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{E}$  is transient  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(c): Let  $\rho(x) := |x|^{\eta}$  with  $\eta > -d$ . Then

$$v_1(r) = \int_{B_r} |x|^{\eta} dx = Cr^{d+\eta}$$

and for sufficiently large r > 1,

$$v_2(r) = \int_{B_r} |\langle B(x),x
angle| \cdot |x|^\eta dx \leq \left\{egin{array}{ll} C(1+r)^{lpha+d+\eta} & lpha+d+\eta
eq 0,\ C\log\left(1+r
ight) & lpha+d+\eta=0. \end{array}
ight.$$

Then  $\mathcal{E}$  is not transient, if one of the following conditions is satisfied

(b1) 
$$d + \eta = 2$$
 and  $\alpha \le -2$ .  
(b2)  $d + \eta \in (0, 2)$  and  $\alpha + d + \eta < 2$ .

Similarly to [Stannat, 1999], [Tr 2003],  $\exists$  diffusion process associated with  $\mathcal{E}$  and if  $d + \eta \in (0, 1]$ , it is not a semimartingale. Thus (b2) asserts that one can determine non-transience or recurrence even in the non semimartingale case.