## Stochastic Newton equation with absorbing area

## Song Liang

## **University of Tsukuba**

Aug. 31 – Sep. 4, 2015, Tohoku University

 $X_t^{\lambda}, V_t^{\lambda} \in \mathbb{R}$ : position and velocity of a particle at time t.

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^{\lambda} = V_t^{\lambda} dt \\ dV_t^{\lambda} = -bV_t^{\lambda} dt - \lambda \nabla g(X_t^{\lambda}) dt + \sigma(X_t^{\lambda}) dB_t, \\ (X_0^{\lambda}, V_0^{\lambda}) = (X_0, V_0), \end{cases}$$

(0.1)

with

- b > 0: constant (coefficient of friction),
- $\lambda \geq 1$ : parameter (later,  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ),
- $g \in C_0^\infty({f R};{f R})$ : potential function,

 $\sigma \in C^{\infty}({f R};{f R})$  , positive uniformly

 $(X_0, V_0)$ : initial condition,  $|X_0|$  big enough.

"Hamiltonian  $H(x,v) = \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 + \lambda g(x)$ " + friction + randomized

<u>**Problem</u></u>: Behavior of the particle as \lambda \to \infty.</u>** 

Motivation (reason for  $\lambda \to \infty$ ): Mechanical model of Brownian motion. Massive particle(s) in an ideal gas environment. The Newtonian system with Hamiltonian:  $H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2} M_i |V_i|^2 + \sum_{(x,v)} \frac{1}{2} m |v|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{(x,v)} U_i (X_i - x).$ 

equivalently, (totally deterministic as long as the initial condition is given)

$$\begin{split} \frac{d}{dt}X_{i}(t,\omega) &= V_{i}(t,\omega), \quad i = 1, \cdots, N, \\ M_{i}\frac{d}{dt}V_{i}(t,\omega) &= -\int_{\mathbf{R}}d_{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{R}}d\;\mu_{\omega}(dx,dv) \\ &\cdot \nabla U_{i}(X_{i}(t,\omega) - x(t,x,v,\omega)), \\ (X_{i}(0,\omega), V_{i}(0,\omega)) &= (X_{i,0}, V_{i,0}), \\ \frac{d}{dt}x(t,x,v,\omega) &= v(t,x,v,\omega), \\ m\frac{d}{dt}v(t,x,v,\omega) &= -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla U_{i}(x(t,x,v,\omega) - X_{i}(t,\omega)), \\ (x(0,x,v,\omega), v(0,x,v,\omega)) &= (x,v). \end{split}$$

with initial condition (x,v): Poisson point process, v and the density  $\sim m^{-1/2}$  ,

<u>Aim</u>: Behavior of the massive particle(s) when  $m \rightarrow 0$ .

 $P_{m}(d\omega)$ : (probability on  $Conf(\mathbf{R}^{d} imes \mathbf{R}^{d})$ ), the Poisson point process with intensity  $\lambda_{m}$ ,

 $\lambda_m(dx,dv) = m rac{d-1}{2} 
ho \Big( rac{m}{2} |v|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N U_i(x-X_{i,0}) \Big) dx dv,$ 

then (Kusuoka-L. (2010))

 $egin{aligned} &M_i V_i(t\wedge\sigma)\ &pprox \mbox{ initial} + \mbox{martingale} + \mbox{differentiable term}\ &-m^{-1/2}\int_0^{t\wedge\sigma} 
abla_i \widetilde{U}(ec{X}(s)) ds, \end{aligned}$ 

with |the jump of the martingale term |  $\leq Cm^{1/2}$ .

 $\widetilde{U}$ : new potential for  $ec{X}$ , (with no light particles as mediates)

Let  $r_3 > 0$ :  $g(x) = 0(\forall |x| \ge r_3)$ .  $\rightarrow$  In the domain  $|x| > r_3$ ,  $\lambda$  has no effect  $\rightarrow$  In the limit  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we get the same SDE:

$$\left\{ egin{array}{ll} dX_t^\lambda = V_t^\lambda dt \ dV_t^\lambda = -bV_t^\lambda dt + \sigma(X_t^\lambda) dB_t, \end{array} 
ight.$$

The behavior at  $|X_t| = r_3$  (and  $V_t \cdot X_t < 0$ ):

(i) if 
$$\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$$
 s.t.,  $g(x) > 0$  for  $|x| \in (r_3 - \varepsilon_0, r_3)$   
 $\rightarrow g$  gives a repulsion

 $\rightarrow$  after  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , we get a reflective diffusion

 $\rightarrow$  Kusuoka (2004)

(ii) In this talk: g(x) < 0 right after entered  $|x| \leq r_3$ :  $\rightarrow g$  gives an attraction  $\rightarrow$  after  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $|V_t|$  becomes  $\infty$ .

```
egin{aligned} \exists r_1 \in (0,r_3) 	ext{ s.t.,} \ &g(x) < 0 (x \in (r_1,r_3)), \ &g(r_1) = 0, \ &g'(r_1) < 0. \end{aligned}
```

Related result: L. (2013):

the same potential (i.e., attracting) but with relative efficacy  $\rightarrow$  resulting in a stochastic process with two phases: a diffusion phase (for  $|X_t| \geq r_3$ ) and a uniform motion phase (for  $|X_t| \in (r_1, r_3)$ ).

In this talk: without relative efficacy

(In the limit  $\lambda 
ightarrow \infty$ ),

- $V_t$  becomes  $\infty$  right after it enters the domain  $|X_t| \in (r_1, r_3)$ ,
- it could then never leave this domain. Indeed, let  $H_t^{\lambda} := rac{1}{2} |V_t^{\lambda}|^2 + \lambda g(X_t^{\lambda})$ , then by Ito's formula,

$$dH_t^\lambda = -b|V_t^\lambda|^2 dt + V_t^\lambda \sigma(X_t^\lambda) dt + rac{1}{2}\sigma^2(X_t^\lambda) dt,$$

hence  $H_t^{\lambda}$  becomes negative before its first hitting time to  $r_1$ . So it is meaningless to consider the limit behavior of  $X_t^{\lambda}$  itself. For any  $f \in C_b(\mathbf{R})$ , let

$$Y^{f,\lambda}_t := \int_0^t f(X^\lambda_s) ds$$

(Since f is bounded,  $\Big\{$  the distribution of  $\Big\{Y_t^{f,\lambda}; t \ge 0\Big\}; \lambda \ge 1\Big\}$  is tight).

Aim: The limit of the distribution of  $\{Y_t^{f,\lambda}; t \in [0,\infty)\}$  as  $\lambda \to \infty$ .

W.I.o.g., let  $X_0 = r_3$  and  $V_0 < 0$ .

A related known result (Sugiyama):  $g(x) = ax^2$  (a > 0), i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^{\lambda} = V_t^{\lambda} dt \\ dV_t^{\lambda} = -bV_t^{\lambda} dt - 2\lambda a X_t^{\lambda} dt + \sigma(X_t^{\lambda}) dB_t, \\ (X_0^{\lambda}, V_0^{\lambda}) = (x_0, v_0), \end{cases}$$

Then

$$X_t^\lambda=\cdots,$$
 (3 lines)  $V_t^\lambda=\cdots,$  (5 lines) so for any  $T>0$  and  $f\in C_b^1({f R})$ ,

$$\begin{split} \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} E\Big[\Big|\int_0^T f(X_t^{\lambda})dt \\ -2\int_{-x_0}^{x_0} \int_0^{\frac{\log x_0 - \log |x|}{b} \wedge \frac{T}{2}} f(x)h(u,x)dudx\Big|\Big] = 0, \end{split}$$

here

$$h(u,x) = rac{1}{\pi \sqrt{x_0^2 e^{-2bu} - x^2}}.$$

Consider the non-random case:

$$\left\{egin{array}{ll} dx_t^\lambda = v_t^\lambda dt,\ dv_t^\lambda = -bv_t^\lambda dt - \lambda 
abla g(x_t^\lambda) dt,\ (x_0^\lambda, v_0^\lambda) = (r_3, v), \end{array}
ight.$$

with v < 0.

Same as the random case, the particle could never leave  $(r_1, r_3)$ .

**<u>Problem</u>**: The range of the particle.

Let

$$h_t^\lambda := rac{1}{2} |v_t^\lambda|^2 + \lambda g(x_t^\lambda), \qquad j_t^\lambda := \lambda^{-1} h_t^\lambda.$$

Then  $j_t := \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} j_t^{\lambda}$  gives us the range of the particle (of the limit process) around time t.

Assume that g in  $(r_1, r_3)$  is single-well, i.e.,  $\exists r_2 \in (r_1, r_3)$ , s.t.,

- $g(x)\Big|_{x\in(r_1,r_2)}$  is strictly decreasing (write the inverse:  $g^{-1,1}$ ),
- $g(x)\Big|_{x\in(r_2,r_3)}$  is strictly increasing (write the inverse:  $g^{-1,2}$ )
- + some technical condition.

Then

• 
$$j_t < 0$$
 for any  $t > 0$ ,  
•  $dj_t = -2b(j_t - A^g g(j_t))dt$ ,  $j_0 = 0$ , with  
 $A^g f(x) := \frac{S_f(j)}{S_1(j)}, \quad x \in (-\|g\|_{\infty}, 0),$   
 $S_f(j) := S_f^g(j) := \sqrt{2} \int_{g^{-1,2}(j)}^{g^{-1,2}(j)} \frac{f(y)}{\sqrt{j - g(y)}} dy.$ 

Idea: balance of "time for each trip" and "decay of energy during each trip"

Come back to the random case.

Let

$$J_t^\lambda := \lambda^{-1} H_t^\lambda = rac{1}{2} \lambda^{-1} |V_t^\lambda|^2 + g(X_t^\lambda).$$

 $(J_t := \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} J_t^{\lambda}$  gives us the range of the particle (of the limit process) around time t).

<u>Theorem.</u> Under the above assumptions, for any  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ , we have that when  $\lambda \to \infty$ ,  $\left\{ (J_t^{\lambda}, Y_t^{f,\lambda}); t \in [0,\infty) \right\}$  converge to  $\{ (j_t, \int_0^t A^g f(j_s) ds); t \in [0,\infty) \}$  weakly in (W, dist). Here  $W = C([0,\infty); \mathbb{R}^2)$  and for  $\forall w_1, w_2 \in W$ ,  $dist(w_1, w_2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \left( 1 \wedge \left[ \max_{t \in [0,n]} |w_1(t) - w_2(t)| \right] \right)$ .

Remark: Non-random limit ONLY for d = 1For  $d \ge 2$ : same limit for  $|X_t|$ , but random limit for the direction

## Open problem. In our (motivating) mechanical model of Brownian Motion: Is *b* negative-definite?

The corresponding "limit" generator

$$L_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k_{1},k_{2}=1}^{N} \sum_{l_{1},l_{2}=1}^{d} a_{k_{1}l_{1},k_{2}l_{2}} (\vec{x}) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial V_{k_{1}}^{l_{1}} \partial V_{k_{2}}^{l_{2}}} + \\ \sum_{k_{1},k_{2}=1}^{N} \sum_{l_{1},l_{2}=1}^{d} b_{k_{1}l_{1},k_{2}l_{2}} (\vec{x}) V_{k_{2}}^{l_{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial V_{k_{1}}^{l_{1}}} + \\ \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{d} V_{k}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial X_{k}^{i}},$$

with

$$\int_E \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \nabla^2 U_i(\psi^0(t,x,v,\vec{X}) - X_i) z(t,x,v,\vec{X},\vec{V},-t) dt \right)$$

$$imes 
ho(rac{1}{2}|v|^2)
u(dx,dv) = \sum_{\ell=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^N b_{i\cdot;j\ell}(ec{x})V_j^\ell.$$

$$E = \{(x, v) \in \operatorname{R}^d \times (\operatorname{R}^d \setminus \{0\}); \ x \cdot v = 0\},$$

 $m{z}(t;x,v,ec{X},ec{V},a)\in \mathrm{R}^d$  denotes the solution of the following standard differential equation.

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}Z(t) = -\sum_{i=1}^N \nabla^2 U_i(\psi^0(t, x, v, \vec{X}) - X_i)(Z(t) - (t+a)V_i),$$
$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} Z(t) = \lim_{t \to -\infty} \frac{d}{dt}Z(t) = 0.$$

(we have that  $oldsymbol{z}(t;x,v,ec{X},ec{V},a)$  is a linear function of  $ec{V}$ ).

$$\psi(t,x,v;ec{X}):=\lim_{s o\infty}arphi(t+s,x-sv,v;ec{X}),$$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\varphi^{0}(t,x,v;\vec{X}) = \varphi^{1}(t,x,v;\vec{X}) \\ \frac{d}{dt}\varphi^{1}(t,x,v;\vec{X}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \nabla U_{i}(\varphi^{0}(t,x,v;\vec{X}) - X_{i}) \\ (\varphi^{0}(0,x,v;\vec{X}),\varphi^{1}(0,x,v;\vec{X})) = (x,v). \end{cases}$$

An important estimate for the proof:  $\exists C > 0$ , s.t.,

$$E\Big[\sup_{t\in [0,T]}|V_t^\lambda|^4\Big]^{1/4}\leq C\lambda^{rac{1}{2}}, \quad \lambda\geq 1.$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \frac{\operatorname{Proof.}}{dH_t^{\lambda}} &= -b|V_t^{\lambda}|^2 dt + V_t^{\lambda}\sigma(X_t^{\lambda})dB_t + \frac{1}{2}\sigma(X_t^{\lambda})^2 dt, \text{ so} \\ |V_t^{\lambda}|^2 &= 2H_t^{\lambda} - 2\lambda g(X_t^{\lambda}) \\ &\leq 2H_0 + 2\int_0^t V_s^{\lambda}\sigma(X_s^{\lambda})dB_s + T\|\sigma\|_{\infty}^2 + 2\lambda\|g\|_{\infty}. \end{array}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} & E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|V_t^{\lambda}|^4\Big] \\ \leq & 2\Big(C_1+C_2\lambda\Big)^2+2E\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\Big(2\int_0^t V_s^{\lambda}\sigma(X_s^{\lambda})dB_s\Big)^2\Big] \\ \leq & 2\Big(C_1+C_2\lambda\Big)^2+32\|\sigma\|_{\infty}^2 TE\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|V_t^{\lambda}|^4\Big]^{1/2}. \end{split}$$

In general, for any  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbf{R}^+$  , we have that

$$x^2 \leq c_1 + c_2 x \Rightarrow x \leq rac{c_2 + \sqrt{c_2^2 + 4c_1}}{2} \leq c_2 + \sqrt{c_1}.$$

Therefore, we get that

$$E\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|V_{t}^{\lambda}|^{4}\right]^{1/2}$$

$$\leq 32\|\sigma\|_{\infty}^{2}T + \sqrt{2(C_{1}+C_{2}\lambda)^{2}}$$

$$= 32\|\sigma\|_{\infty}^{2}T + \sqrt{2C_{1}'+C_{2}'\lambda}$$

for any  $\lambda \geq 1$ .

Q.E.D.

Main idea for the dealing with randomness:

• For any  $\{M(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ : continuous martingale,  $\exists \{W(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ : BM, s.t.,  $M(t) = W(\langle M, M \rangle_t)$ .

• For any standard BM 
$$\{\overline{B}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$$
, we have the following:

(i) 
$$\lim_{a\to\infty} P\left(\left\{\inf_{u\geq 0}(\varepsilon u+\overline{B}_t)<-a\right\}\right)=0$$
 for any  $\varepsilon>0$ ,

(ii) 
$$\lim_{a\to\infty} P(\{\overline{B}_s - \varepsilon s \ge 0 \text{ for some } s \ge a\}) = 0$$
 for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

(iii) 
$$P\left(\limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \left\{\sup_{0 \le s \le t+s \le T, t \le \epsilon} \frac{|B_{t+s} - B_s|}{\sqrt{2\epsilon \log c 1\epsilon}}\right\} = 1\right) = 1.$$

Thank you!