

Markov processes with jumps and nonlocal generators

Walter Hoh

Faculty of Mathematics
Universität Bielefeld

Sendai, September 1, 2015

Feller semigroups $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ in \mathbb{R}^n

$$T_t : C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n), \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0\}$$

s.t.

$$T_s \circ T_t = T_{s+t}$$

$$T_t u \rightarrow u \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \leq u \leq 1 \Rightarrow 0 \leq T_t u \leq 1$$

Feller semigroups $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ in \mathbb{R}^n

$$T_t : C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in C(\mathbb{R}^n), \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0\}$$

s.t.

$$T_s \circ T_t = T_{s+t}$$

$$T_t u \rightarrow u \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \leq u \leq 1 \Rightarrow 0 \leq T_t u \leq 1$$

Then $(A, D(A))$ generator of Feller semigroup iff

- (i) $D(A) \subset C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ dense
- (ii) $R(A - \lambda) = C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $\lambda > 0$
- (iii) A satisfies **positive maximum principle**:

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} u(x) = u(x_0) \geq 0 \Rightarrow A(x_0) \leq 0$$

Theorem (Courrège): Assume $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset D(A)$. If A satisfies positive maximum principle, then A is a Lévy-type operator

$Au(x) =$

2nd order diffusion operator $+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} (u(x+y) - u(x) - \frac{\langle y, \nabla u(x) \rangle}{1 + |y|^2}) \mu(x, dy)$

where $\mu(x, dy)$ kernel of Lévy measures: $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} |y|^2 \wedge 1 \mu(x, dy) < \infty$

Lévy-Khinchin formula

Equivalently: $A = -q(x, D)$ is a **pseudo differential operator**

$$Au(x) = -(2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} q(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi$$

where the symbol

$$q : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

s.t. $\xi \mapsto q(x, \xi)$ is a **continuous negative definite function**.

Lévy-Khinchin formula

Equivalently: $A = -q(x, D)$ is a **pseudo differential operator**

$$Au(x) = -(2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} q(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi$$

where the symbol

$$q : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

s.t. $\xi \mapsto q(x, \xi)$ is a **continuous negative definite function**. Here

$$q(x, \xi) = Q(x, \xi) + ib(x) \cdot \xi + c(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \left(1 - e^{iy \cdot \xi} + \frac{iy \cdot \xi}{1 + |y|^2}\right) \mu(x, dy)$$

where $Q(x, \cdot) \geq 0$ quadr. form, $b(x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $c(x) \geq 0$, $\mu(x, \cdot)$ kernel of Lévy measures

Translation invariant case

$$q(x, \xi) = \psi(\xi)$$

continuous negative definite function, independent of x .

In particular assume

$$\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

ψ has no local part

$$\Rightarrow \quad \psi(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} (1 - \cos(y \cdot \xi)) \mu(dy)$$

where μ symmetric Lévy measure.

Translation invariant case

$$q(x, \xi) = \psi(\xi)$$

continuous negative definite function, independent of x .

In particular assume

$$\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

ψ has no local part

$$\Rightarrow \quad \psi(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} (1 - \cos(y \cdot \xi)) \mu(dy)$$

where μ symmetric Lévy measure.

Corresponding semigroup (T_t) given by:

Convolution semigroup $(\mu_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of symmetric probability measures :

$$\mu_s * \mu_t = \mu_{s+t}, \quad s, t, \geq 0$$

$$\mu_t \rightarrow \mu_0 = \varepsilon_0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0 \text{ vaguely}$$

Here

$$\hat{\mu}_t(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-t\psi(\xi)}$$

Here

$$\hat{\mu}_t(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-t\psi(\xi)}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_t u(x) &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} e^{-t\psi(\xi)} \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= u * \mu_t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x - y) \mu_t(dy) \end{aligned} \quad u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Here

$$\hat{\mu}_t(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-t\psi(\xi)}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_t u(x) &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} e^{-t\psi(\xi)} \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= u * \mu_t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x-y) \mu_t(dy) \quad u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \end{aligned}$$

It follows: $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ extends to a contraction semigroup

$$(T_t^{(p)})_{t \geq 0} \text{ on } L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p < \infty$$

strongly continuous and sub-Markovian

Here

$$\hat{\mu}_t(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-t\psi(\xi)}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} T_t u(x) &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} e^{-t\psi(\xi)} \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= u * \mu_t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x-y) \mu_t(dy) \end{aligned} \quad u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

It follows: $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ extends to a contraction semigroup

$$(T_t^{(p)})_{t \geq 0} \text{ on } L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p < \infty$$

strongly continuous and sub-Markovian

as well as to a Feller semigroup $(T_t^{(\infty)})_{t \geq 0}$ on $C_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Idea: Use L^p -theory to get better regularity results and embeddings for the nonlocal generator / semigroup

Idea: Use L^p -theory to get better regularity results and embeddings for the nonlocal generator / semigroup

More precisely use (r, p) -capacities: Assume $(T_t^{(p)})_{t \geq 0}$ is a sub-Markovian strongly cont. contraction semigroup on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with generator $A^{(p)}$

Define Γ -transform of $(T_t^{(p)})$:

$$V_r^{(p)} u := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{2})} \int_0^\infty t^{r/2-1} e^{-t} T_t^{(p)} u, \quad r \geq 0$$

$(V_r^{(p)})_{r \geq 0}$ is obtained from $(T_t^{(p)})_{t \geq 0}$ by subordination w.r.t the (modified) Γ -semigroup on $[0, \infty)$

Idea: Use L^p -theory to get better regularity results and embeddings for the nonlocal generator / semigroup

More precisely use (r, p) -capacities: Assume $(T_t^{(p)})_{t \geq 0}$ is a sub-Markovian strongly cont. contraction semigroup on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with generator $A^{(p)}$

Define Γ -transform of $(T_t^{(p)})$:

$$V_r^{(p)} u := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{2})} \int_0^\infty t^{r/2-1} e^{-t} T_t^{(p)} u, \quad r \geq 0$$

$(V_r^{(p)})_{r \geq 0}$ is obtained from $(T_t^{(p)})_{t \geq 0}$ by subordination w.r.t the (modified) Γ -semigroup on $[0, \infty)$

$\Rightarrow (V_r^{(p)})_{r \geq 0}$ also sub-Markovian, strongly cont. contraction semigroup on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

In particular $V_r^{(p)} \circ V_s^{(p)} = V_{r+s}^{(p)}$

Abstract Bessel potential space

Abstract Bessel potential space

Then (Farkas, Jacob, Schilling)

$$V_r^{(p)} u = (\text{id} - A^{(p)})^{-r/2} u \quad r > 0, u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Abstract Bessel potential space

Then (Farkas, Jacob, Schilling)

$$\begin{aligned}V_r^{(p)} u &= (\text{id} - A^{(p)})^{-r/2} u && r > 0, u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \zeta^{-r/2} ((\zeta + 1)\text{id} - A^{(p)})^{-1} u d\zeta\end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow V_r^{(p)}$ injective

Abstract Bessel potential space

Then (Farkas, Jacob, Schilling)

$$\begin{aligned}V_r^{(p)} u &= (\text{id} - A^{(p)})^{-r/2} u && r > 0, u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \zeta^{-r/2} ((\zeta + 1)\text{id} - A^{(p)})^{-1} u d\zeta\end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow V_r^{(p)}$ injective

Abstract Bessel potential space:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{r,p} &:= V_r^{(p)}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) \\ \|u\|_{\mathcal{F}_{r,p}} &:= \|v\|_{L^p} \quad \text{for } u = V_r^{(p)}(v)\end{aligned}$$

Abstract Bessel potential space

Then (Farkas, Jacob, Schilling)

$$\begin{aligned}V_r^{(p)} u &= (\text{id} - A^{(p)})^{-r/2} u && r > 0, u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \zeta^{-r/2} ((\zeta + 1)\text{id} - A^{(p)})^{-1} u d\zeta\end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow V_r^{(p)}$ injective

Abstract Bessel potential space:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{r,p} &:= V_r^{(p)}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) \\ \|u\|_{\mathcal{F}_{r,p}} &:= \|v\|_{L^p} \quad \text{for } u = V_r^{(p)}(v)\end{aligned}$$

(r, p) -capacity:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{cap}_{r,p}(G) &= \inf\{\|u\|_{\mathcal{F}_{r,p}} : u \in \mathcal{F}_{r,p}, u \geq 1 \text{ a.e. on } G\} && G \text{ open} \\ \text{cap}_{r,p}(A) &= \inf\{\text{cap}_{r,p}(G) : A \subset G, G \text{ open}\}\end{aligned}$$

Properties of $\mathcal{F}_{r,p}$?

Translation invariant case

$\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous negative definite function

→ convolution semigroups $(T_t^{(\rho)})_{t \geq 0}$

Again following Farkas, Jacob, Schilling a concrete description of $\mathcal{F}_{r,\rho}$ is possible:

Translation invariant case

$\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous negative definite function

→ convolution semigroups $(T_t^{(\rho)})_{t \geq 0}$

Again following Farkas, Jacob, Schilling a concrete description of $\mathcal{F}_{r,\rho}$ is possible:

ψ -Bessel potential space $H_p^{\psi,r}$, $1 < p < \infty$

$$\|u\|_{H_p^{\psi,r}} = \|F^{-1}((1 + \psi(\cdot))^{r/2} \hat{u}(\cdot))\|_{L^p}, \quad u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Translation invariant case

$\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous negative definite function

→ convolution semigroups $(T_t^{(p)})_{t \geq 0}$

Again following Farkas, Jacob, Schilling a concrete description of $\mathcal{F}_{r,p}$ is possible:

ψ -Bessel potential space $H_p^{\psi,r}$, $1 < p < \infty$

$$\|u\|_{H_p^{\psi,r}} = \|F^{-1}((1 + \psi(\cdot))^{r/2} \hat{u}(\cdot))\|_{L^p}, \quad u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

For $H_p^{\psi,r}$ explicit embeddings are known.

In particular

$$C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset H_p^{\psi,r} \text{ dense for all } r \geq 0$$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{r,p} = H_p^{\psi,r}$ regular

x -dependent symbols $q(x, \xi)$

Model case

$$q(x, \xi) = \sum_{i=1}^N b_i(x) \cdot \psi_i(\xi)$$

where

$\psi_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous negative definite functions

$b_i > 0$ coefficient functions

x-dependent symbols $q(x, \xi)$

Model case

$$q(x, \xi) = \sum_{i=1}^N b_i(x) \cdot \psi_i(\xi)$$

where

$\psi_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous negative definite functions

$b_i > 0$ coefficient functions

Consider small perturbations of constant coefficient case

Fix $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$q(x, \xi) = \underbrace{q(x_0, \xi)}_{=: \psi(\xi)} + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^N (b_i(x) - b_i(x_0)) \cdot \psi_i(\xi)}_{=: q_1(x, \xi) \text{ perturbation}}$$

$$q(x, D) = \psi(D) + q_1(x, D)$$

$-\psi(D)$ generates strongly continuous, sub-Markovian contraction semigroup on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Assume

$$(*) \quad \sup_{x,i} |b_i(x) - b_i(x_0)| < \varepsilon$$

$-\psi(D)$ generates strongly continuous, sub-Markovian contraction semigroup on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Assume

$$(*) \quad \sup_{x,i} |b_i(x) - b_i(x_0)| < \varepsilon$$

Banuelos, Bogdan '07:

$$m(\xi) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} (1 - \cos(y, \xi)) \Phi(y) \mu(dy)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} (1 - \cos(y, \xi)) \mu(dy)}$$

μ Lévy-measure, Φ even, $|\Phi| \leq 1$

Then for $1 < p < \infty$

$$\|m(D)f\|_{L^p} \leq (p^* - 1) \cdot \|f\|_{L^p}, \quad p^* - 1 = \max(p - 1, \frac{1}{p - 1})$$

Now

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Psi_i(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} (1 - \cos(y, \xi)) \mu_i(dy) \\ \Psi(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} (1 - \cos(y, \xi)) \underbrace{\sum_i b_i(x_0) \mu_i(dy)}_{=: \mu(dy)} \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{aligned} &\mu_i \ll \mu \\ &\Rightarrow \mu_i = \Phi_i \cdot \mu, |\Phi_i| \leq \frac{1}{c_1} \\ &\quad (c_1 = \min_i \{b_i(x_0)\}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\| \frac{\Psi_i}{\Psi}(D) \right\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq \frac{1}{c_1} (p^* - 1)$$

Now

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Psi_i(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} (1 - \cos(y, \xi)) \mu_i(dy) \\ \Psi(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} (1 - \cos(y, \xi)) \underbrace{\sum_i b_i(x_0) \mu_i(dy)}_{=:\mu(dy)} \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{aligned} &\mu_i \ll \mu \\ &\Rightarrow \mu_i = \Phi_i \cdot \mu, |\Phi_i| \leq \frac{1}{c_1} \\ &\quad (c_1 = \min_i \{b_i(x_0)\}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\| \frac{\Psi_i}{\Psi}(D) \right\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq \frac{1}{c_1} (p^* - 1)$$

\Rightarrow for ε small

$$\|q_1(x, D)u\|_{L^p} < \frac{1}{2} \|\psi(D)u\|_{L^p} + c \|u\|_{L^p}$$

Now

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Psi_i(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} (1 - \cos(y, \xi)) \mu_i(dy) \\ \Psi(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} (1 - \cos(y, \xi)) \underbrace{\sum_i b_i(x_0) \mu_i(dy)}_{=:\mu(dy)} \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{aligned} &\mu_i \ll \mu \\ &\Rightarrow \mu_i = \Phi_i \cdot \mu, |\Phi_i| \leq \frac{1}{c_1} \\ &\quad (c_1 = \min_i \{b_i(x_0)\}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\| \frac{\Psi_i}{\Psi}(D) \right\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq \frac{1}{c_1} (p^* - 1)$$

\Rightarrow for ε small

$$\|q_1(x, D)u\|_{L^p} < \frac{1}{2} \|\psi(D)u\|_{L^p} + c \|u\|_{L^p}$$

\Rightarrow

$-q(x, D)$ generates strongly continuous contraction semigroup $(\tilde{T}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Sub-Markov property

If b_i continuous

Sub-Markov property

If b_i continuous

\Rightarrow martingale problem is well-posed

$\Rightarrow q(x, D)$ generates Feller semigroup

Sub-Markov property

If b_i continuous

\Rightarrow martingale problem is well-posed

$\Rightarrow q(x, D)$ generates Feller semigroup

Assume symmetry

for that purpose for $i = 1, \dots, N$

(S) assume $\mathbb{R}^n = V_i^1 \oplus V_i^2$, s.t. $b_i : V_i^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
 $\psi_i : V_i^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

Sub-Markov property

If b_i continuous

\Rightarrow martingale problem is well-posed

$\Rightarrow q(x, D)$ generates Feller semigroup

Assume symmetry

for that purpose for $i = 1, \dots, N$

(S) assume $\mathbb{R}^n = V_i^1 \oplus V_i^2$, s.t. $b_i : V_i^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
 $\psi_i : V_i^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$\Rightarrow (\tilde{T}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ sub-Markovian

Bessel potential spaces

Consider Bessel potential spaces $\mathcal{F}_{r,p}^q$ corresponding to $-q(x, D)$

Theorem : Assume (*) with ε sufficiently small and (S). Then

$$\mathcal{F}_{r,p}^q = H_p^{\psi,r}$$

In particular $\mathcal{F}_{r,p}^q$ is regular.

Hence

- every $u \in \mathcal{F}_{r,p}^q$ admits an (r, p) -quasi-continuous modification, unique up to (r, p) -quasi everywhere equality
- $\mathcal{F}_{r,2}^q$, $r \leq 1$ has the contraction property

Sketch of proof

$$\mathcal{F}_{r,p}^q = V_r^{(p)}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$$

First from the semigroup property of $V_r^{(p),q}$:

$$V_r^{(p),q}(\mathcal{F}_{s,p}^q) = V_{r+s}^{(p),q}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \mathcal{F}_{r+s,p}^q$$

Suffices to consider $r < 2$

Sketch of proof

$$\mathcal{F}_{r,p}^q = V_r^{(p)}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$$

First from the semigroup property of $V_r^{(p),q}$:

$$V_r^{(p),q}(\mathcal{F}_{s,p}^q) = V_{r+s}^{(p),q}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)) = \mathcal{F}_{r+s,p}^q$$

Suffices to consider $r < 2$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} V_r^{(p),q} u &= ((id + q(x, D))^{-r/2} u \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \zeta^{-r/2} ((\zeta + 1)id + q(x, D))^{-1} u d\zeta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \zeta^{-r/2} R_{\zeta+1}^q u d\zeta \\ &= \frac{\sin \frac{r}{2}\pi}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \lambda^{-r/2} R_{\lambda+1}^q u d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

Calculate resolvent R_λ^q of perturbed operator $-\mathbf{q}(x, D)$:

Solve

$$(\lambda + \mathbf{q}(x, D))u = f$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda + \mathbf{q}(x, D))u &= (\lambda + \psi(D) + \mathbf{q}_1(x, D)) \circ R_\lambda \circ (\lambda + \psi(D))u \\ &= (\text{id} + \mathbf{q}_1(x, D) \circ R_\lambda)(\lambda + \psi(D))u\end{aligned}$$

Calculate resolvent R_λ^q of perturbed operator $-q(x, D)$:

Solve

$$(\lambda + q(x, D))u = f$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda + q(x, D))u &= (\lambda + \psi(D) + q_1(x, D)) \circ R_\lambda \circ (\lambda + \psi(D))u \\ &= (\text{id} + q_1(x, D) \circ R_\lambda)(\lambda + \psi(D))u\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$q_1(x, D) \circ R_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^N (b_i(x) - b_i(x_0)) \cdot \psi_i(D) \circ R_\lambda$$

Calculate resolvent R_λ^q of perturbed operator $-q(x, D)$:

Solve

$$(\lambda + q(x, D))u = f$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda + q(x, D))u &= (\lambda + \psi(D) + q_1(x, D)) \circ R_\lambda \circ (\lambda + \psi(D))u \\ &= (\text{id} + q_1(x, D) \circ R_\lambda)(\lambda + \psi(D))u\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$q_1(x, D) \circ R_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^N (b_i(x) - b_i(x_0)) \cdot \psi_i(D) \circ R_\lambda$$

We know

$$\left\| \frac{\psi_i}{\psi}(D) \right\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq c$$

Calculate resolvent R_λ^q of perturbed operator $-q(x, D)$:

Solve

$$(\lambda + q(x, D))u = f$$

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda + q(x, D))u &= (\lambda + \psi(D) + q_1(x, D)) \circ R_\lambda \circ (\lambda + \psi(D))u \\ &= (\text{id} + q_1(x, D) \circ R_\lambda)(\lambda + \psi(D))u\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$q_1(x, D) \circ R_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^N (b_i(x) - b_i(x_0)) \cdot \psi_i(D) \circ R_\lambda$$

We know

$$\left\| \frac{\psi_i}{\psi}(D) \right\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq c$$

Moreover

$$\left\| \frac{\psi(D)}{\lambda + \psi(D)} \right\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} = \left\| \text{Id} - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \psi(D)} \right\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} \leq 2$$

\Rightarrow for ε sufficiently small: $\|q_1(x, D) \circ R_\lambda\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} < 1$

$\Rightarrow \text{id} + q_1(x, D) \circ R_\lambda$ invertible in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Hence $u = R_\lambda \circ (\text{id} + q_1(x, D) \circ R_\lambda)^{-1} f$

\Rightarrow for ε sufficiently small: $\|q_1(x, D) \circ R_\lambda\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^p} < 1$

$\Rightarrow \text{id} + q_1(x, D) \circ R_\lambda$ invertible in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Hence $u = R_\lambda \circ (\text{id} + q_1(x, D) \circ R_\lambda)^{-1} f$

\Rightarrow

$$R_\lambda^q = R_\lambda \circ (\text{id} + q_1(x, D) \circ R_\lambda)^{-1}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} V_r^{(p),q} u &= \underbrace{\frac{\sin \frac{r}{2} \pi}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-r/2} R_{\lambda+1} \circ (\text{id} + q_1(x, D) \circ R_\lambda)^{-1} u \, d\lambda}_{V_r^{(p)}} \\ &= V_r^{(p)} \circ (\text{id} + q_1(x, D) \circ R_\lambda)^{-1} u \end{aligned}$$