Variational convergence on Riemannian manifolds Stochastic Analysis and Applications Sendai, Miyagi, Japan

Jun Masamune @ Tohoku University

August 31, 2015

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Space: Weighted Riemannian manifold

- $(\Omega, g, d\mu)$ : Complete weighted Riemannian manifold.
- Σ ⊂ Ω: Compact submanifold of co-dimension 1 with measure dσ.
- $\Sigma_{\epsilon} = \epsilon$ -neighborhood of  $\Sigma$

Real world example:

- Σ: membrane (endothelial cell monolayer).
- Permeability of Σ:

$$P_D = \frac{J}{\Delta u}$$

.

where J is the flux at  $\partial_{left}\Sigma_{\epsilon}$  and  $\Delta u = u(x_0) - u(x_1)$  with  $x_0 \in \partial_{left}\Sigma_{\epsilon}$  and  $x_1 \in \partial_{right}\Sigma_{\epsilon}$ .

#### **Functionals** (energies)

 ${\rm Let} \ \alpha,\beta \geq {\rm 0}, \ \epsilon > {\rm 0}.$ 

► F<sup>ϵ</sup>: functionals representing the "real situations"

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\epsilon}[u] &= \int_{\Omega \setminus \Sigma^{\epsilon}} g(\nabla u, \nabla u) d\mu \\ &+ \int_{\Sigma^{\epsilon}} \epsilon^{-\alpha} g_{\Sigma}(\nabla u, \nabla u) + \epsilon^{-\beta} g_{\Sigma^{\perp}}(\nabla u, \nabla u) d\mu \end{aligned}$$

F: functional of the "ideal model":

$$F[u] = \int_{\Omega} g(\nabla u, \nabla u) d\mu + \int_{\Sigma} \delta_{\beta=1} g_{\Sigma}(\nabla u, \nabla u) d\sigma$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Real world application: Redefining the "permeability" of endothelial cell monolayer.

#### Hille-Yosida semigroups

► A, A<sup>ϵ</sup>: The associated generators; namely, self-adjoint operators such that

$$F(u,v) = (-Au,v)_{L^2}$$
 and  $F^{\epsilon}(u,v) = (-A^{\epsilon}u,v)_{L^2}$ .

► T<sub>t</sub>, T<sup>e</sup><sub>t</sub> with t > 0: the associated Hille-Yosida semigroups; namely,

$${\mathcal T}_t = \exp(t{\mathcal A})$$
 and  ${\mathcal T}_t^\epsilon = \exp(t{\mathcal A}^\epsilon)$ 

 $T_t$  and  $T_t^{\epsilon}$  solve the heat equations:

$$A(T_t u) = rac{d}{dt} T_t u$$
 and  $A^{\epsilon}(T_t^{\epsilon} u) = rac{d}{dt} T_t^{\epsilon} u.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### **Probability spaces**

 $\mathcal{C}=$  the set of all continuous trajectories in  $\Omega$ 

 $\mathcal{C} = \{ c : [0, \infty) \to \Omega : c \text{ is continuous with time } t > 0 \}.$ 

 $\mathbb{P}_p$  and  $\mathbb{P}_p^{\epsilon}$ : the associated measures on  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $p \in \Omega$ ; namely,  $\mathbb{P}_p$  and  $\mathbb{P}_p^{\epsilon}$  are the probability measures on  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfying:

$$(T_t\chi_O)(p) = \mathbb{P}_p(c(t) \in O), \quad O \subset \Omega$$

and

$$(T^{\epsilon}_t\chi_O)(p)=\mathbb{P}^{\epsilon}_p(c(t)\in O), \quad O\subset \Omega.$$

For an initial distribution  $\nu_0$  (a probability measure on  $\Omega$ ), set

$$\mathbb{P} = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{P}_{p} \nu_{0}(dp) \text{ and } \mathbb{P}^{\epsilon} = \int_{\Omega} \mathbb{P}_{p}^{\epsilon} \nu_{0}(dp).$$

1. Study the convergence of the diffusion equations:

$$T_t^\epsilon o T_t \quad (L^2)$$

2. Study the weak convergence of the measures:

$$\mathbb{P}^{\epsilon} \to \mathbb{P}.$$

## Strategy

(1) Show the Mosco convergence of  $F^{\epsilon} \rightarrow F$ . This will imply the convergence:

$$T_t^\epsilon o T_t \quad (L^2)$$

in particular, the finite-dimensional convergence of  $\mathbb{P}^{\epsilon}$  to  $\mathbb{P}.$ 

(2) Show that F and F<sup>ε</sup> are regular Dirichlet forms. This together with (1) and the tightness of {P<sup>ε</sup>}<sub>ε>0</sub> implies the convergence:

$$\mathbb{P}^{\epsilon} \to \mathbb{P}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### 1st Step: Semigroup convergence

Definition (Mosco convergence)  $F^{\epsilon}$  Mosco-converges to F in H iff (M1)  $\forall u \in L^2$ ,  $\exists u_{\epsilon} \in L^2$  converging strongly to u s.t.  $\limsup F^{\epsilon}[u_{\epsilon}] \leq F[u]$ (M2) if  $v_{\epsilon}$  weak converges to u in  $L^2$ , then  $\limsup F^{\epsilon}[v_{\epsilon}] > F[u]$ .

Theorem (Mosco)  $F^{\epsilon} \rightarrow F$  Mosco-converges  $\iff T_t^{\epsilon} u \rightarrow T_t u, \forall u \in L^2.$ 

#### **Related Results**

In 1970s, L. Carbone and C. Sbordone, H. Pham Huy and E. Sanchez-Palencia studied

$$egin{aligned} \Omega &= [-1,1] imes [-1,1] \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \ \Sigma_\epsilon &= [-1,1] imes [-\epsilon/2,\epsilon/2] \ F^\epsilon[u] &= \int_\Omega a_\epsilon |
a_u|^2 d\mu \ a_\epsilon(p) &= egin{cases} 1, & p \in \Omega \setminus \Sigma_\epsilon \ 1/\epsilon, & p \in \Sigma_\epsilon \ F[u] &= \int_\Omega |
abla u|^2 d\mu + \int_\Sigma u_x^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

and showed

 $F^{\epsilon} \rightarrow F$  in Mosco sense.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Very limited list of other main contributers in different settings:

In Euclidean spaces: U. Mosco, J.L. Lions, A. Bensoussan, De Girogi, I. Babuska, etc; in Riemannian manifolds: Y. Ogura, etc; in Measure Metric Spaces: T. Shioya, K. Kuwae, etc; in Infinite dimension spaces: Kolesnikov, S. Albeverio, etc; in Fractals: U. Mosco, M.R. Lancia, M.A. Vivaldi, Barlow-Bass, T. Kumagai, etc.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# 2nd Step: Tightness

Prove

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\sup_{\epsilon>0}\mathbb{P}^{\epsilon}(\mathcal{C}^{\delta}_{h,l})=0$$

where

$${\mathcal C}^\delta_{h,l} = \{w \in {\mathcal C} \mid \sup_{|t-s| < h, \ 0 \leq t < s \leq l} |w(s) - w(t)| > \delta\}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# Application in Probability theory

#### Theorem (T. Uemura 1994)

Assume that  $a^{\epsilon}$  and a defined in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies:

1. bounded from below and above uniformly in  $\epsilon > 0$ 

2.  $a_{\epsilon} \rightarrow a$  almost everywhere.

Then

- 1.  $\int a_{\epsilon} |\nabla u|^2 d\mu$  Mosco converges to  $\int a |\nabla u|^2 d\mu$ ,
- 2.  $\{\mathbb{P}^{\epsilon}\}_{\epsilon>0}$  is tight, accordingly,  $\mathbb{P}^{\epsilon}$  weak converges to  $\mathbb{P}$ .

# **Application in Probability theory**

#### Theorem (T. Uemura 1994)

Assume that  $a^{\epsilon}$  and a defined in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies:

1. bounded from below and above uniformly in  $\epsilon > 0$ 

2.  $a_{\epsilon} \rightarrow a$  almost everywhere.

Then

1. 
$$\int a_{\epsilon} |\nabla u|^2 d\mu$$
 Mosco converges to  $\int a |\nabla u|^2 d\mu$ ,

2.  $\{\mathbb{P}^{\epsilon}\}_{\epsilon>0}$  is tight, accordingly,  $\mathbb{P}^{\epsilon}$  weak converges to  $\mathbb{P}$ .

**Note.** Uemura's result was generalized by K. Kuwae and T. Uemura (1995) to metric-measure spaces.

## **Changing Speed Measures**

#### Definition (Kuwae and Shioya)

Let  $H_{\epsilon}$  and H be Hilbert spaces.

▶  $u_{\epsilon} \in H_{\epsilon} \rightarrow u \in H$  strongly if  $\exists \tilde{u}_{\delta} \in \mathsf{Core}$  such that

1. 
$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \|\tilde{u}_{\delta} - u\|_{H} = 0$$

2. 
$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \limsup_{\epsilon \to 0} \|\tilde{u}_{\delta} - u_{\epsilon}\|_{H_{\epsilon}} = 0$$

▶  $u \in H_{\epsilon} \rightarrow u \in u$  weakly iff  $v_{\epsilon} \rightarrow v$  strongly, then

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0}(u_{\epsilon},v_{\epsilon})_{H_{\epsilon}}=(u,v)_{H}.$$

# Changing Speed Measures (Con't)

Let  $E_{\epsilon}$  and E (resp) be functionals defined in  $H_{\epsilon}$  and H (resp). Definition (Kuwae and Shioya)  $(E_{\epsilon}, H_{\epsilon}) \rightarrow (E, H)$  Mosco iff

 $\forall u \in H$   $\exists u \in H$  conversion strong

▶  $\forall u \in H$ ,  $\exists u_{\epsilon} \in H_{\epsilon}$  converging strongly to u s.t.

 $\limsup E_{\epsilon}[u_{\epsilon}] \leq E[u]$ 

▶  $v_{\epsilon} \in H_{\epsilon}$  weak converges to u in  $H \implies \liminf E_{\epsilon}[v_{\epsilon}] \ge E[u]$ .

Theorem (Kuwae-Shioya)  $(E_{\epsilon}, H_{\epsilon}) \rightarrow (E, H)$  Mosco iff

 $T_t^{\epsilon} u_{\epsilon} \in H_{\epsilon} \rightarrow T_t u \in H$  strongly

whenever  $u_{\epsilon} \in H_{\epsilon} \rightarrow u \in H$  strongly (in Kuwae-Shioya sense).

## Main Results.

Theorem (Regular Dirichlet forms)

Assume ∂Ω = Ø. A<sup>ε</sup> and A restricted to C<sup>∞</sup><sub>c</sub>(Ω) are essentially selfadjoint. In particular,

$$Af = 0, f \in L^2 \implies f \equiv const$$

 F<sup>ε</sup> and F are strongly local regular Dirichlet forms. Namely, they generate Markov processes whose transition probabilities are given by T<sup>ε</sup><sub>t</sub> and T<sub>t</sub> (resp).

#### Theorem (Semigroup convergence)

Suppose  $\alpha \ge 0$  and  $0 \le \beta \le 1$ . Then,  $F^{\epsilon}$  Mosco-converges to F in  $L^{2}(\Omega; d\mu)$ . Therefore,

$$T_t^\epsilon o T_t$$

and

$$E_{\epsilon}((\lambda,\mu]) \to E((\lambda,\mu]),$$

where  $E_{\epsilon}$  and E are the spectral measures of the generators of  $F^{\epsilon}$ and F, respectively,  $\lambda < \mu$  are not in the point spectrum.

#### Theorem (Semigroup convergence)

Suppose  $\alpha \ge 0$  and  $0 \le \beta \le 1$ . Then,  $F^{\epsilon}$  Mosco-converges to F in  $L^{2}(\Omega; d\mu)$ . Therefore,

$$T_t^\epsilon o T_t$$

and

$$E_{\epsilon}((\lambda,\mu]) \to E((\lambda,\mu]),$$

where  $E_{\epsilon}$  and E are the spectral measures of the generators of  $F^{\epsilon}$ and F, respectively,  $\lambda < \mu$  are not in the point spectrum.

Let  $0 \le \nu \le 1$ . The same results hold true for  $F^{\epsilon}$  and F with changing speed measures  $d\mu^{\epsilon} \rightarrow d\mu'$ , where

$$d\mu^{\epsilon} = \chi_{\Omega \setminus \Sigma^{\epsilon}} d\mu + \epsilon^{-\nu} \chi_{\Sigma^{\epsilon}} d\sigma \quad d\mu' = d\mu + \delta_{\nu=1} d\sigma.$$

#### Theorem (Weak convergence of measures)

Suppose  $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$  and  $\alpha \geq \beta$ . Then the Wiener measures  $\{\mathbb{P}^{\epsilon}\}_{\epsilon>0}$  and  $\{\mathbb{P}^{\prime\epsilon}\}_{\epsilon>0}$  associated to  $F^{\epsilon}$  in  $L^{2}(\Omega; d\mu)$  and  $L^{2}(\Omega; d\mu_{\epsilon})$  respectively, are tight. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}^{\epsilon} \to \mathbb{P}, \ \epsilon \to 0,$$
  
 $\mathbb{P}^{'\epsilon} \to \mathbb{P}', \ \epsilon \to 0.$ 

where  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\mathbb{P}'$  are the measures associated to F in  $L^2(\Omega; d\mu)$  and  $L^2(\Omega; d\mu')$ , respectively.

# **Related projects**

- Microfluidic. Joint with K. Funamoto (Tohoku) and I. Zervantonakis (Harvard)
- Markov properties of non-symmetric operators on a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold. Joint with M. Bordoni (Rome) and S. Gallot (Grenoble)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 Markov unique extensions of non-symmetric Schrodinger operators on a singular manifold.