

A dimensional Wasserstein contraction characterizing the curvature-dimension condition

Kazumasa Kuwada

(Tokyo Institute of Technology)

(Joint work with F. Bolley, I. Gentil and A. Guillin)

Stochastic Analysis and Applications (Tohoku University) Aug. 31–Sept. 4, 2015

1. Introduction

Heat flow and Ricci curvature

M : complete Riemannian manifold

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, x) = \Delta u(t, x), \\ u(0, \cdot) = f \end{cases} \quad \text{heat eq. on } M$$

$$\Rightarrow u = P_t f$$

- $P_t = e^{t\Delta}$: heat semigroup

(e.g. sol'n to a nondegenerate SDE provides them)

Heat flow and Ricci curvature

M : complete Riemannian manifold

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t, x) = \Delta u(t, x), \\ u(0, \cdot) = f \end{cases} \quad \text{heat eq. on } M$$

$$\Rightarrow u = P_t f$$

- $P_t = e^{t\Delta}$: heat semigroup

(e.g. sol'n to a nondegenerate SDE provides them)

★ P_t characterizes “**Ric** $\geq K$ & **dim** $\leq N$ ”
(curvature-dimension cond.)

Bakry-Émery's approach

Bochner-Weitzenböck formula

$$\Gamma_2(f, f) = \text{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \|\text{Hess } f\|_{\text{HS}}^2,$$
$$\Gamma_2(f, f) := \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla f|^2 - \langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta f \rangle$$

★ “Ric $\geq K$ & dim $\leq N$ ”

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{BE}(K, N): \Gamma_2(f, f) \geq K |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{N} (\Delta f)^2$$

(Bakry-Émery's curv.-dim. cond. [Bakry-Émery '85])

Bakry-Émery's approach

Bochner-Weitzenböck formula

$$\Gamma_2(f, f) = \text{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \|\text{Hess } f\|_{\text{HS}}^2,$$
$$\Gamma_2(f, f) := \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla f|^2 - \langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta f \rangle$$

★ “Ric $\geq K$ & dim $\leq N$ ”

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{BE}(K, N): \Gamma_2(f, f) \geq K |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{N} (\Delta f)^2$$

(Bakry-Émery's curv.-dim. cond. [Bakry-Émery '85])

Bakry-Émery's approach

Bochner-Weitzenböck formula

$$\Gamma_2(f, f) = \text{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \|\text{Hess } f\|_{\text{HS}}^2,$$
$$\Gamma_2(f, f) := \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla f|^2 - \langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta f \rangle$$

★ “Ric $\geq K$ & dim $\leq N$ ”

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{BE}(K, N): \Gamma_2(f, f) \geq K |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{N} (\Delta f)^2$$

(Bakry-Émery's curv.-dim. cond. [Bakry-Émery '85])

Bakry-Émery's approach

Bochner-Weitzenböck formula

$$\Gamma_2(f, f) = \text{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \|\text{Hess } f\|_{\text{HS}}^2,$$
$$\Gamma_2(f, f) := \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla f|^2 - \langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta f \rangle$$

★ “Ric $\geq K$ & dim $\leq N$ ”

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{BE}(K, N): \Gamma_2(f, f) \geq K |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{N} (\Delta f)^2$$

(Bakry-Émery's curv.-dim. cond. [Bakry-Émery '85])

- $\langle \nabla f_1, \nabla f_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta(f_1 f_2) - f_1 \Delta f_2 - f_2 \Delta f_1)$

Bakry-Émery's approach

Bochner-Weitzenböck formula

$$\Gamma_2(f, f) = \text{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \|\text{Hess } f\|_{\text{HS}}^2,$$
$$\Gamma_2(f, f) := \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla f|^2 - \langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta f \rangle$$

★ “Ric $\geq K$ & dim $\leq N$ ”

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{BE}(K, N): \Gamma_2(f, f) \geq K |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{N} (\Delta f)^2$$

(Bakry-Émery's curv.-dim. cond. [Bakry-Émery '85])

- $\langle \nabla f_1, \nabla f_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta(f_1 f_2) - f_1 \Delta f_2 - f_2 \Delta f_1)$

(**BE**(K, N) is formulated only by Δ)

Bakry-Émery's approach

Bochner-Weitzenböck formula

$$\Gamma_2(f, f) = \text{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f) + \|\text{Hess } f\|_{\text{HS}}^2,$$
$$\Gamma_2(f, f) := \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla f|^2 - \langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta f \rangle$$

★ “Ric $\geq K$ & dim $\leq N$ ”

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{BE}(K, N): \Gamma_2(f, f) \geq K |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{N} (\Delta f)^2$$

(Bakry-Émery's curv.-dim. cond. [Bakry-Émery '85])

- $\langle \nabla f_1, \nabla f_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta(f_1 f_2) - f_1 \Delta f_2 - f_2 \Delta f_1)$

(BE(K, N)) is formulated only by $\Delta \leftrightarrow P_t = e^{t\Delta}$)

Optimal transport approach

$$W_2(\mu, \nu) := \inf \left\{ \|d\|_{L^2(\pi)} \mid \begin{array}{l} \pi: \text{coupling} \\ \text{of } \mu \text{ \& } \nu \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{Ent}_{\text{vol}}(\rho \text{ vol}) := \int \rho \log \rho d \text{ vol}$$

★ “Ric $\geq K$ & dim $\leq N$ ”

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{CD}^e(K, N): \quad \left(\nabla^2 \text{Ent} - \frac{1}{N} \nabla \text{Ent}^{\otimes 2} \geq K \right)$$

on $(\mathcal{P}(M), W_2)$ ((K, N) -convexity of Ent)

Optimal transport approach

$$W_2(\mu, \nu) := \inf \left\{ \|d\|_{L^2(\pi)} \mid \begin{array}{l} \pi: \text{coupling} \\ \text{of } \mu \text{ \& } \nu \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{Ent}_{\text{vol}}(\rho \text{ vol}) := \int \rho \log \rho d \text{ vol}$$

★ “Ric $\geq K$ & dim $\leq N$ ”

$$\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{CD}^e(K, N): \quad \left\langle \nabla^2 \text{Ent} - \frac{1}{N} \nabla \text{Ent}^{\otimes 2} \geq K \right\rangle$$

on $(\mathcal{P}(M), W_2)$ ((K, N) -convexity of Ent)

- $\mu P_t \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ ($\mu \in \mathcal{P}(M)$): heat distribution
- $\mu_t := \mu P_t$ solves $\dot{\mu}_t = -\nabla \text{Ent}(\mu_t)$

Optimal transport approach

★ “Ric $\geq K$ & dim $\leq N$ ”

$\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{W}(K, N)$: An estimate of $W_2(\mu P_t, \nu P_s)$

● $\mathbf{W}(K, \infty)$:

$$W_2(\mu P_t, \nu P_t)^2 \leq e^{-2Kt} W_2(\mu, \nu)^2$$

● $\mathbf{W}(0, N)$:

$$W_2(\mu P_t, \nu P_s)^2 \leq W_2(\mu, \nu)^2 + 2N(\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s})^2$$

Optimal transport approach

★ “Ric $\geq K$ & dim $\leq N$ ”

$\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{W}(K, N)$: An estimate of $W_2(\mu P_t, \nu P_s)$

● $\mathbf{W}(K, \infty)$:

$$W_2(\mu P_t, \nu P_t)^2 \leq e^{-2Kt} W_2(\mu, \nu)^2$$

● $\mathbf{W}(0, N)$:

$$W_2(\mu P_t, \nu P_s)^2 \leq W_2(\mu, \nu)^2 + 2N(\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s})^2$$

Optimal transport approach

★ “Ric $\geq K$ & dim $\leq N$ ”

$\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{W}(K, N)$: An estimate of $W_2(\mu P_t, \nu P_s)$

● $\mathbf{W}(K, \infty)$:

$$W_2(\mu P_t, \nu P_t)^2 \leq e^{-2Kt} W_2(\mu, \nu)^2$$

● $\mathbf{W}(0, N)$:

$$W_2(\mu P_t, \nu P_s)^2 \leq W_2(\mu, \nu)^2 + 2N(\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s})^2$$

Optimal transport approach

★ “Ric $\geq K$ & dim $\leq N$ ”

$\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{W}(K, N)$: An estimate of $W_2(\mu P_t, \nu P_s)$

● $\mathbf{W}(K, \infty)$:

$$W_2(\mu P_t, \nu P_t)^2 \leq e^{-2Kt} W_2(\mu, \nu)^2$$

● $\mathbf{W}(0, N)$:

$$W_2(\mu P_t, \nu P_s)^2 \leq W_2(\mu, \nu)^2 + 2N(\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s})^2$$

Q.

Estimate of $W_2(\mu P_t, \nu P_t)^2$

characterizing “Ric $\geq K$ & dim $\leq N$ ”

Outline of the talk

- 1. Introduction**
- 2. Framework and main results**
- 3. Idea of the proof**
- 4. Further problems**

1. Introduction

2. Framework and main results

3. Idea of the proof

4. Further problems

Framework

(X, g) : m -dim. Riem. mfd., d : dist., $dv = e^{-V} d \text{vol}$

$$\mathcal{L} := \Delta - \nabla V \cdot \nabla, \quad P_t := e^{t\mathcal{L}}$$

$$\mathbf{Ent} := \mathbf{Ent}_v$$

(e.g. symmetric diffusion given by a nondeg. SDE)

Framework

(X, g) : m -dim. Riem. mfd., d : dist., $dv = e^{-V} d \text{vol}$

$$\mathcal{L} := \Delta - \nabla V \cdot \nabla, \quad P_t := e^{t\mathcal{L}}$$

$$\text{Ent} := \text{Ent}_v$$

(e.g. symmetric diffusion given by a nondeg. SDE)

BE(K, N) in terms of \mathcal{L}

$$\Gamma_2(f, f) \geq K|\nabla f|^2 + \frac{1}{N}(\mathcal{L}f)^2,$$

$$\Gamma_2(f, f) := \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}|\nabla f|^2 - \langle \nabla f, \nabla \mathcal{L}f \rangle$$

Another dimensional W_2 -contraction

$\widehat{W}(K, N)$

$$\left(\frac{W_2(\mu P_t, \nu P_t)}{2} \right)^2 \leq e^{-2Kt} \quad \left(\frac{W_2(\mu, \nu)}{2} \right)^2$$

Another dimensional W_2 -contraction

$$\widehat{W}(K, N)$$

$$\mathfrak{s}_{K/N} \left(\frac{W_2(\mu P_t, \nu P_t)}{2} \right)^2 \leq e^{-2Kt} \mathfrak{s}_{K/N} \left(\frac{W_2(\mu, \nu)}{2} \right)^2$$

$$\left(\mathfrak{s}_\kappa(r) := \frac{\sin(\sqrt{\kappa}r)}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \right)$$

Another dimensional W_2 -contraction

$\widehat{W}(K, N)$

$$\mathfrak{s}_{K/N} \left(\frac{W_2(\mu P_t, \nu P_t)}{2} \right)^2 \leq e^{-2Kt} \mathfrak{s}_{K/N} \left(\frac{W_2(\mu, \nu)}{2} \right)^2 - 2N \int_0^t e^{-2K(t-s)} \times \sinh^2 \left(\frac{\text{Ent}(\mu P_s) - \text{Ent}(\nu P_s)}{2N} \right) ds,$$

$$\left(\mathfrak{s}_\kappa(r) := \frac{\sin(\sqrt{\kappa}r)}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \right)$$

Another dimensional W_2 -contraction

$$\widehat{W}'(K, N)$$

$$W_2(\mu P_t, \nu P_t)^2 \leq e^{-2Kt} W_2(\mu, \nu)^2 - \frac{2}{N} \int_0^t e^{-2K(t-s)} (\text{Ent}(\mu P_s) - \text{Ent}(\nu P_s))^2 ds$$

$$\left(\mathfrak{s}_\kappa(r) := \frac{\sin(\sqrt{\kappa}r)}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \right)$$

Another dimensional W_2 -contraction

$$\widehat{W}'(K, N)$$

$$W_2(\mu P_t, \nu P_t)^2 \leq e^{-2Kt} W_2(\mu, \nu)^2 \\ - \frac{2}{N} \int_0^t e^{-2K(t-s)} (\text{Ent}(\mu P_s) - \text{Ent}(\nu P_s))^2 ds$$

$$\left(\mathfrak{s}_\kappa(r) := \frac{\sin(\sqrt{\kappa}r)}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \right) \\ \left(\mathfrak{s}_\kappa(r) \approx r \ (r \ll 1), \sinh(r) \geq r \right. \\ \left. \rightsquigarrow \widehat{W}(K, N) \Rightarrow \widehat{W}'(K, N) \right)$$

Another dimensional W_2 -contraction

Theorem 1 ([Bolley, Gentil, Guillin & K.])

For $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N > 0$, TFAE:

- (i) $\text{BE}(K, N)$
- (ii) $\widehat{W}(K, N)$
- (iii) $\widehat{W}'(K, N)$

Remark on extensions

- Thm.1 is true in more abstract framework

Remark on extensions

- Thm.1 is true in more abstract framework:

Riemannian energy measure space
introduced by [Ambrosio, Gigli & Savaré '15]

Remark on extensions

- Thm.1 is true in more abstract framework:

Riemannian energy measure space
introduced by [Ambrosio, Gigli & Savaré '15]

- Riem. energy meas. sp. with “**BE**(K, N)”
 \Leftrightarrow **RCD**^e(K, N) sp.: “Riem.” met. meas. sp.,
“ $\nabla^2 \text{Ent} - \frac{1}{N} \nabla \text{Ent}^{\otimes 2} \geq K$ ” on $(\mathcal{P}(M), W_2)$

[Erbar, K. & Sturm '15]

Remark on extensions

- Thm.1 is true in more abstract framework:

Riemannian energy measure space
introduced by [Ambrosio, Gigli & Savaré '15]

- Riem. energy meas. sp. with “**BE**(K, N)”
 \Leftrightarrow **RCD** ^{e} (K, N) sp.: “Riem.” met. meas. sp.,
“ $\nabla^2 \text{Ent} - \frac{1}{N} \nabla \text{Ent}^{\otimes 2} \geq K$ ” on $(\mathcal{P}(M), W_2)$
[Erbar, K. & Sturm '15]

- **RCD** ^{e} (K, N) \Rightarrow **W**(K, N) \Rightarrow **BE**(K, N)

- Thm.1 implies another proof of
RCD ^{e} (K, N) \Rightarrow **BE**(K, N)

1. Introduction

2. Framework and main results

3. Idea of the proof

4. Further problems

For simplicity, we assume $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{0}$ in the sequel

For simplicity, we assume $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{0}$ in the sequel
(We still use “ \mathbf{K} ” if it holds for general \mathbf{K})

Proof of
 $\mathbf{BE}(K, N) \Rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{W}}(K, N)$

Formal derivation of $\widehat{W}'(0, N)$

$$\dagger \text{BE}(0, N) \Leftrightarrow \nabla^2 \text{Ent} - \frac{1}{N} \nabla \text{Ent}^{\otimes 2} \geq 0$$

$$\dagger \mu_t^{(i)} := \mu^{(i)} P_t \text{ solves } \dot{\mu}_t^{(i)} = -\nabla \text{Ent}(\mu_t^{(i)}) \\ (i = 0, 1)$$

Formal derivation of $\widehat{W}'(0, N)$

$$\dagger \text{BE}(0, N) \Leftrightarrow \nabla^2 \text{Ent} - \frac{1}{N} \nabla \text{Ent}^{\otimes 2} \geq 0$$

$$\dagger \mu_t^{(i)} := \mu^{(i)} P_t \text{ solves } \dot{\mu}_t^{(i)} = -\nabla \text{Ent}(\mu_t^{(i)}) \\ (i = 0, 1)$$

$(\sigma_r)_{r \in [0,1]}$: W_2 -min. geod. in $\mathcal{P}(M)$ from $\mu_t^{(0)}$ to $\mu_t^{(1)}$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} W_2(\mu_t^{(0)}, \mu_t^{(1)})^2 = [\langle \dot{\mu}_t^{(r)}, \dot{\sigma}_r \rangle]_{r=0}^1$$

Formal derivation of $\widehat{W}'(0, N)$

$$\dagger \text{BE}(0, N) \Leftrightarrow \nabla^2 \text{Ent} - \frac{1}{N} \nabla \text{Ent}^{\otimes 2} \geq 0$$

$$\dagger \mu_t^{(i)} := \mu^{(i)} P_t \text{ solves } \dot{\mu}_t^{(i)} = -\nabla \text{Ent}(\mu_t^{(i)}) \\ (i = 0, 1)$$

$(\sigma_r)_{r \in [0,1]}$: W_2 -min. geod. in $\mathcal{P}(M)$ from $\mu_t^{(0)}$ to $\mu_t^{(1)}$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} W_2(\mu_t^{(0)}, \mu_t^{(1)})^2 = [-\langle \nabla \text{Ent}(\mu_i), \dot{\sigma}_r \rangle]_{r=0}^1$$

Formal derivation of $\widehat{W}'(0, N)$

$$\dagger \text{BE}(0, N) \Leftrightarrow \nabla^2 \text{Ent} - \frac{1}{N} \nabla \text{Ent}^{\otimes 2} \geq 0$$

$(\sigma_r)_{r \in [0,1]}$: W_2 -min. geod. in $\mathcal{P}(M)$ from $\mu_t^{(0)}$ to $\mu_t^{(1)}$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} W_2(\mu_t^{(0)}, \mu_t^{(1)})^2 = [-\langle \nabla \text{Ent}(\sigma_r), \dot{\sigma}_r \rangle]_{r=0}^1$$

Formal derivation of $\widehat{W}'(0, N)$

$$\dagger \text{BE}(0, N) \Leftrightarrow \nabla^2 \text{Ent} - \frac{1}{N} \nabla \text{Ent}^{\otimes 2} \geq 0$$

$(\sigma_r)_{r \in [0,1]}$: W_2 -min. geod. in $\mathcal{P}(M)$ from $\mu_t^{(0)}$ to $\mu_t^{(1)}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} W_2(\mu_t^{(0)}, \mu_t^{(1)})^2 &= [-\langle \nabla \text{Ent}(\sigma_r), \dot{\sigma}_r \rangle]_{r=0}^1 \\ &= - \int_0^1 \nabla^2 \text{Ent}(\sigma_r)(\dot{\sigma}_r, \dot{\sigma}_r) dr \quad (\because \ddot{\sigma}_r = 0) \end{aligned}$$

Formal derivation of $\widehat{W}'(0, N)$

$$\dagger \text{BE}(0, N) \Leftrightarrow \nabla^2 \text{Ent} - \frac{1}{N} \nabla \text{Ent}^{\otimes 2} \geq 0$$

$(\sigma_r)_{r \in [0,1]}$: W_2 -min. geod. in $\mathcal{P}(M)$ from $\mu_t^{(0)}$ to $\mu_t^{(1)}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} W_2(\mu_t^{(0)}, \mu_t^{(1)})^2 &= [-\langle \nabla \text{Ent}(\sigma_r), \dot{\sigma}_r \rangle]_{r=0}^1 \\ &= - \int_0^1 \nabla^2 \text{Ent}(\sigma_r)(\dot{\sigma}_r, \dot{\sigma}_r) dr \\ &\leq - \frac{1}{N} \int_0^1 \langle \nabla \text{Ent}(\sigma_r), \dot{\sigma}_r \rangle^2 dr \end{aligned}$$

Formal derivation of $\widehat{W}'(0, N)$

$(\sigma_r)_{r \in [0,1]}$: W_2 -min. geod. in $\mathcal{P}(M)$ from $\mu_t^{(0)}$ to $\mu_t^{(1)}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} W_2(\mu_t^{(0)}, \mu_t^{(1)})^2 &= [-\langle \nabla \text{Ent}(\sigma_r), \dot{\sigma}_r \rangle]_{r=0}^1 \\ &= - \int_0^1 \nabla^2 \text{Ent}(\sigma_r)(\dot{\sigma}_r, \dot{\sigma}_r) dr \\ &\leq - \frac{1}{N} \int_0^1 \langle \nabla \text{Ent}(\sigma_r), \dot{\sigma}_r \rangle^2 dr \\ &\leq - \frac{1}{N} \left(\int_0^1 \langle \nabla \text{Ent}(\sigma_r), \dot{\sigma}_r \rangle dr \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Formal derivation of $\widehat{W}'(0, N)$

$(\sigma_r)_{r \in [0,1]}$: W_2 -min. geod. in $\mathcal{P}(M)$ from $\mu_t^{(0)}$ to $\mu_t^{(1)}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} W_2(\mu_t^{(0)}, \mu_t^{(1)})^2 &= [-\langle \nabla \text{Ent}(\sigma_r), \dot{\sigma}_r \rangle]_{r=0}^1 \\ &= - \int_0^1 \nabla^2 \text{Ent}(\sigma_r)(\dot{\sigma}_r, \dot{\sigma}_r) dr \\ &\leq - \frac{1}{N} \int_0^1 \langle \nabla \text{Ent}(\sigma_r), \dot{\sigma}_r \rangle^2 dr \\ &\leq - \frac{1}{N} (\text{Ent}(\sigma_1) - \text{Ent}(\sigma_0))^2 \end{aligned}$$

Formal derivation of $\widehat{W}'(0, N)$

$(\sigma_r)_{r \in [0,1]}$: W_2 -min. geod. in $\mathcal{P}(M)$ from $\mu_t^{(0)}$ to $\mu_t^{(1)}$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} W_2(\mu_t^{(0)}, \mu_t^{(1)})^2 &= [-\langle \nabla \text{Ent}(\sigma_r), \dot{\sigma}_r \rangle]_{r=0}^1 \\ &= - \int_0^1 \nabla^2 \text{Ent}(\sigma_r)(\dot{\sigma}_r, \dot{\sigma}_r) dr \\ &\leq - \frac{1}{N} \int_0^1 \langle \nabla \text{Ent}(\sigma_r), \dot{\sigma}_r \rangle^2 dr \\ &\leq - \frac{1}{N} \left(\text{Ent}(\mu_t^{(1)}) - \text{Ent}(\mu_t^{(0)}) \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Formal derivation of $\widehat{W}'(0, N)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} W_2(\mu_t^{(0)}, \mu_t^{(1)})^2 &= [-\langle \nabla \text{Ent}(\sigma_r), \dot{\sigma}_r \rangle]_{r=0}^1 \\ &= - \int_0^1 \nabla^2 \text{Ent}(\sigma_r)(\dot{\sigma}_r, \dot{\sigma}_r) dr \\ &\leq - \frac{1}{N} \int_0^1 \langle \nabla \text{Ent}(\sigma_r), \dot{\sigma}_r \rangle^2 dr \\ &\leq - \frac{1}{N} \left(\text{Ent}(\mu_t^{(1)}) - \text{Ent}(\mu_t^{(0)}) \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Formal derivation of $\widehat{W}'(0, N)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} W_2(\mu_t^{(0)}, \mu_t^{(1)})^2 &= [-\langle \nabla \text{Ent}(\sigma_r), \dot{\sigma}_r \rangle]_{r=0}^1 \\ &= - \int_0^1 \nabla^2 \text{Ent}(\sigma_r)(\dot{\sigma}_r, \dot{\sigma}_r) dr \\ &\leq - \frac{1}{N} \int_0^1 \langle \nabla \text{Ent}(\sigma_r), \dot{\sigma}_r \rangle^2 dr \\ &\leq - \frac{1}{N} \left(\text{Ent}(\mu_t^{(1)}) - \text{Ent}(\mu_t^{(0)}) \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{W_2(\mu_t^{(0)}, \mu_t^{(1)})^2 \leq W_2(\mu_0^{(0)}, \mu_0^{(1)})^2 - \frac{2}{N} \int_0^t (\text{Ent}(\mu_s^{(1)}) - \text{Ent}(\mu_s^{(0)}))^2 ds}$$

Evolution variational inequality

- $\mathbf{BE}(K, N) \Rightarrow \mathbf{RCD}^e(K, N)$
- $\mathbf{RCD}^e(K, N) \Leftrightarrow \forall$ initial data, \exists sol. to
 (K, N) -**EVI** of Ent

[Erbar, K. & Sturm '15]

What's **EVI**?

- A formulation of grad. flow for (K, N) -convex pot. & “Riemannian”
- In this case, sol. to **EVI** of Ent = μP_t
- (K, N) -**EVI** $\Rightarrow \mathbf{W}(K, N)$ & $\widehat{\mathbf{W}}(K, N)$

Proof of

$$\widehat{\mathbf{W}}'(K, N) \Rightarrow \mathbf{BE}(K, N)$$

Review: $W(K, N) \Rightarrow BE(K, N)$

$$W(K, N) \begin{array}{c} \Rightarrow \\ \Leftarrow \end{array} G(K, N) \begin{array}{c} \Rightarrow \\ \Leftarrow \end{array} BE(K, N)$$

[Bakry & Émery '84 ($N = \infty$)/ Bakry & Ledoux '06]
[K. '10, '13 ($N = \infty$)/K. '15/...]

Review: $W(K, N) \Rightarrow BE(K, N)$

$$W(K, N) \begin{matrix} \Rightarrow \\ \Leftarrow \end{matrix} G(K, N) \begin{matrix} \Rightarrow \\ \Leftarrow \end{matrix} BE(K, N)$$

$G(0, N)$ (Gradient estimate for P_t) :

$$|\nabla P_t f|^2 \leq P_t(|\nabla f|^2) - \frac{2}{N}(\mathcal{L}P_t f)^2$$

Review: $W(K, N) \Rightarrow BE(K, N)$

$$W(K, N) \begin{matrix} \Rightarrow \\ \Leftarrow \end{matrix} G(K, N) \begin{matrix} \Rightarrow \\ \Leftarrow \end{matrix} BE(K, N)$$

$G(0, N)$ (Gradient estimate for P_t):

$$|\nabla P_t f|^2 \leq P_t(|\nabla f|^2) - \frac{2}{N}(\mathcal{L}P_t f)^2$$

Recall $W(0, N)$:

$$W_2(\mu P_t, \nu P_s)^2 \leq W_2(\mu, \nu)^2 + 2N(\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s})^2$$

Review: $W(K, N) \Rightarrow BE(K, N)$

$$W(K, N) \begin{matrix} \Rightarrow \\ \Leftarrow \end{matrix} G(K, N) \begin{matrix} \Rightarrow \\ \Leftarrow \end{matrix} BE(K, N)$$

$G(0, N)$ (Gradient estimate for P_t) :

$$|\nabla P_t f|^2 \leq P_t(|\nabla f|^2) - \frac{2}{N}(\mathcal{L}P_t f)^2$$

Recall $W(0, N)$:

$$W_2(\mu P_t, \nu P_s)^2 \leq W_2(\mu, \nu)^2 + 2N(\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{s})^2$$

★ $W(K, N)$ for Dirac meas.'s $\Rightarrow G(K, N)$

Our problem: $\widehat{W}'(K, N) \Rightarrow \text{BE}(K, N)$

Remarks

- No use of $\mathbf{G}(K, N)$:

$$\widehat{W}'(K, N) \Rightarrow \text{BE}(K, N) \text{ directly}$$

- On \mathbb{R}^m ,

$$\widehat{W}'(K, N) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{W}(K, \infty)$$

for Dirac meas.'s

Our problem: $\widehat{W}'(K, N) \Rightarrow \mathbf{BE}(K, N)$

Remarks

- No use of $\mathbf{G}(K, N)$:

$$\widehat{W}'(K, N) \Rightarrow \mathbf{BE}(K, N) \text{ directly}$$

- On \mathbb{R}^m ,

$$\widehat{W}'(K, N) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{W}(K, \infty)$$

for Dirac meas.'s

Our problem: $\widehat{W}'(K, N) \Rightarrow \mathbf{BE}(K, N)$

Remarks

- No use of $\mathbf{G}(K, N)$:

$$\widehat{W}'(K, N) \Rightarrow \mathbf{BE}(K, N) \text{ directly}$$

- On \mathbb{R}^m ,

$$\widehat{W}'(K, N) \Leftrightarrow W(K, \infty)$$

for Dirac meas.'s

\therefore Recall $\widehat{W}(0, N)$:

$$W_2(\mu P_t, \nu P_t)^2 \leq W_2(\mu, \nu)^2 - \frac{2}{N} \int_0^t (\mathbf{Ent}(\mu P_s) - \mathbf{Ent}(\nu P_s))^2 ds$$

Key idea to our problem

Perturb $\mu = gv$:

Key idea to our problem

Perturb $\mu = gv$: $\mu_r = g_r v$ with

$$g_r = g(1 - r\mathcal{L}^g f) + o(r) \quad (r \rightarrow 0),$$

$$\mathcal{L}^g := \mathcal{L} + \nabla \log g \cdot \nabla \quad (\text{symm. for } \mu)$$

Key idea to our problem

Perturb $\mu = gv$: $\mu_r = g_r v$ with

$$g_r = g(1 - r\mathcal{L}^g f) + o(r) \quad (r \rightarrow 0),$$

$$\mathcal{L}^g := \mathcal{L} + \nabla \log g \cdot \nabla \quad (\text{symm. for } \mu)$$

Formal differential calc. on $(\mathcal{P}(M), W_2)$ (Otto calc.)

$$“\dot{\nu}_0 = \nabla f \text{ in } T_{\nu_0} \mathcal{P}(M)” \text{ for } (\nu_r)_r \subset \mathcal{P}(M)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \forall \varphi, \quad \left. \partial_r \int \varphi d\nu_r \right|_{r=0} = \int \langle \nabla f, \nabla \varphi \rangle d\nu_0$$

Key idea to our problem

Perturb $\mu = gv$: $\mu_r = g_r v$ with

$$g_r = g(1 - r\mathcal{L}^g f) + o(r) \quad (r \rightarrow 0),$$

$$\mathcal{L}^g := \mathcal{L} + \nabla \log g \cdot \nabla \quad (\text{symm. for } \mu)$$

Formal differential calc. on $(\mathcal{P}(M), W_2)$ (Otto calc.)

$$“\dot{\nu}_0 = \nabla f \text{ in } T_{\nu_0} \mathcal{P}(M)” \text{ for } (\nu_r)_r \subset \mathcal{P}(M)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \nabla \varphi, \quad \left. \partial_r \int \varphi d\nu_r \right|_{r=0} = \int \langle \nabla f, \nabla \varphi \rangle d\nu_0$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{\mu}_0 = \nabla f$$

Overview of the proof

$$\mu = \mu_0, \nu = \mu_r$$

& consider $\mathbf{W}(0, N)$ at $r \approx 0, t \approx 0$

(Use Kantorovich duality & Hopf-Lax semigroup)

$$W_2(\mu_0 P_t, \mu_r P_t)^2 \leq W_2(\mu_0, \mu_r)^2 - \frac{2}{N} \int_0^t (\text{Ent}(\mu_0 P_s) - \text{Ent}(\mu_r P_s))^2 ds$$

Overview of the proof

$$\mu = \mu_0, \nu = \mu_r$$

& consider $\mathbf{W}(0, N)$ at $r \approx 0, t \approx 0$

(Use Kantorovich duality & Hopf-Lax semigroup)

$$\frac{W_2(\mu_0 P_t, \mu_r P_t)^2_{(1)}}{N} \leq \frac{W_2(\mu_0, \mu_r)^2_{(2)}}{N} - \frac{2}{N} \int_0^t \frac{(\text{Ent}(\mu_0 P_s) - \text{Ent}(\mu_r P_s))^2_{(3)}}{N} ds$$

Overview of the proof

$$\mu = \mu_0, \nu = \mu_r$$

& consider $\mathbf{W}(0, N)$ at $r \approx 0, t \approx 0$

(Use Kantorovich duality & Hopf-Lax semigroup)

$$\frac{W_2(\mu_0 P_t, \mu_r P_t)^2}{(1)} \leq \frac{W_2(\mu_0, \mu_r)^2}{(2)}$$

$$- \frac{2}{N} \int_0^t \frac{(\text{Ent}(\mu_0 P_s) - \text{Ent}(\mu_r P_s))^2}{(3)} ds$$

$$\frac{(1)}{r^2} \geq \int (-P_t(|\nabla f|^2) + 2\langle \nabla f, \nabla P_t f \rangle) g dv + o(1)$$

Overview of the proof

$$\mu = \mu_0, \nu = \mu_r$$

& consider $\mathbf{W}(0, N)$ at $r \approx 0, t \approx 0$

(Use Kantorovich duality & Hopf-Lax semigroup)

$$\frac{W_2(\mu_0 P_t, \mu_r P_t)^2}{(1)} \leq \frac{W_2(\mu_0, \mu_r)^2}{(2)}$$

$$- \frac{2}{N} \int_0^t \frac{(\text{Ent}(\mu_0 P_s) - \text{Ent}(\mu_r P_s))^2}{(3)} ds$$

$$\frac{(1)}{r^2} \geq \int (-P_t(|\nabla f|^2) + 2\langle \nabla f, \nabla P_t f \rangle) g dv + o(1)$$

$$\frac{(2)}{r^2} \leq \int |\nabla f|^2 g dv + o(1)$$

Overview of the proof

$$\mu = \mu_0, \nu = \mu_r$$

& consider $\mathbf{W}(0, N)$ at $r \approx 0, t \approx 0$

$$\frac{W_2(\mu_0 P_t, \mu_r P_t)^2}{(1)} \leq \frac{W_2(\mu_0, \mu_r)^2}{(2)}$$

$$- \frac{2}{N} \int_0^t \frac{(\text{Ent}(\mu_0 P_s) - \text{Ent}(\mu_r P_s))^2}{(3)} ds$$

$$\frac{(1)}{r^2} \geq \int (-P_t(|\nabla f|^2) + 2\langle \nabla f, \nabla P_t f \rangle) g dv + o(1)$$

$$\frac{(2)}{r^2} \leq \int |\nabla f|^2 g dv + o(1)$$

$$\frac{(3)}{r^2} \geq \left(\int P_s(g \mathcal{L}^g f) \log P_s g dv \right)^2 + o(1)$$

Overview (cont.'d)



$$\int (-P_t(|\nabla f|^2) + 2\langle \nabla f, \nabla P_t f \rangle) g \, dv$$
$$\leq \int |\nabla f|^2 g \, dv - \frac{2}{N} \int_0^t ds \left| \int P_s(g \mathcal{L}^g f) \log P_s g \, dv \right|^2$$

Overview (cont.'d)

↓

$$\int (-P_t(|\nabla f|^2) + 2\langle \nabla f, \nabla P_t f \rangle) g \, dv$$

$$\leq \int |\nabla f|^2 g \, dv - \frac{2}{N} \int_0^t ds \left| \int P_s (g \mathcal{L}^g f) \log P_s g \, dv \right|^2$$

↓ $\partial_t|_{t=0}$

Overview (cont.'d)

⇓

$$\int (-P_t(|\nabla f|^2) + 2\langle \nabla f, \nabla P_t f \rangle) g \, dv$$

$$\leq \int |\nabla f|^2 g \, dv - \frac{2}{N} \int_0^t ds \left| \int P_s(g \mathcal{L}^g f) \log P_s g \, dv \right|^2$$

⇓ $\partial_t|_{t=0}$

$$-2 \int \Gamma_2(f, f) g \, dv \leq -\frac{2}{N} \left| \int (g \mathcal{L}^g f) \log g \, dv \right|^2$$

$$= -\frac{2}{N} \left| \int g \langle \nabla f, \nabla \log g \rangle \, dv \right|^2$$

$$= -\frac{2}{N} \left| \int (\mathcal{L} f) g \, dv \right|^2$$

Overview (cont.'d)

⇓

$$\int (-P_t(|\nabla f|^2) + 2\langle \nabla f, \nabla P_t f \rangle) g \, dv$$

$$\leq \int |\nabla f|^2 g \, dv - \frac{2}{N} \int_0^t ds \left| \int P_s(g \mathcal{L}^g f) \log P_s g \, dv \right|^2$$

⇓ $\partial_t|_{t=0}$

$$-2 \int \Gamma_2(f, f) g \, dv \leq -\frac{2}{N} \left| \int (g \mathcal{L}^g f) \log g \, dv \right|^2$$

$$= -\frac{2}{N} \left| \int g \langle \nabla f, \nabla \log g \rangle \, dv \right|^2$$

$$= -\frac{2}{N} \left| \int (\mathcal{L} f) g \, dv \right|^2$$

Overview (cont.'d)

⇓

$$\int (-P_t(|\nabla f|^2) + 2\langle \nabla f, \nabla P_t f \rangle) g \, dv$$

$$\leq \int |\nabla f|^2 g \, dv - \frac{2}{N} \int_0^t ds \left| \int P_s(g \mathcal{L}^g f) \log P_s g \, dv \right|^2$$

⇓ $\partial_t|_{t=0}$

$$-2 \int \Gamma_2(f, f) g \, dv \leq -\frac{2}{N} \left| \int (g \mathcal{L}^g f) \log g \, dv \right|^2$$

$$= -\frac{2}{N} \left| \int g \langle \nabla f, \nabla \log g \rangle \, dv \right|^2$$

$$g \rightarrow \delta_x$$

$$= -\frac{2}{N} \left| \int (\mathcal{L} f) g \, dv \right|^2 \quad \square$$

1. Introduction

2. Framework and main results

3. Idea of the proof

4. Further problems

Questions

- Applications of $\widehat{W}(K, N)$ to a sharper rate of conv. (when $K > 0$): e.g.,

$$W_2(\mu P_t, \nu) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{NK}{N-1}t\right) W_2(\mu, \nu)$$

- A self-improvement of $\widehat{W}(K, N)$ or $\widehat{W}'(K, N)$
e.g. a self-improvement of $\mathbf{BE}(K, \infty)$

$$\Rightarrow W_p(\mu P_t, \nu P_t) \leq W_p(\mu, \nu)$$

- $\widehat{W}(K, N)$ by coupling method
for diffusion processes (even on Riem. mfd)
- In another framework?

Questions

- Applications of $\widehat{W}(K, N)$ to a sharper rate of conv. (when $K > 0$): e.g.,

$$W_2(\mu P_t, \nu) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{NK}{N-1}t\right) W_2(\mu, \nu)$$

- A self-improvement of $\widehat{W}(K, N)$ or $\widehat{W}'(K, N)$
e.g. a self-improvement of $\mathbf{BE}(K, \infty)$

$$\Rightarrow W_p(\mu P_t, \nu P_t) \leq W_p(\mu, \nu)$$

- $\widehat{W}(K, N)$ by coupling method
for diffusion processes (even on Riem. mfd)
- In another framework?

Questions

- Applications of $\widehat{W}(K, N)$ to a sharper rate of conv. (when $K > 0$): e.g.,

$$W_2(\mu P_t, \nu) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{NK}{N-1}t\right) W_2(\mu, \nu)$$

- A self-improvement of $\widehat{W}(K, N)$ or $\widehat{W}'(K, N)$
e.g. a self-improvement of $\mathbf{BE}(K, \infty)$

$$\Rightarrow W_p(\mu P_t, \nu P_t) \leq W_p(\mu, \nu)$$

- $\widehat{W}(K, N)$ by coupling method
for diffusion processes (even on Riem. mfd)
- In another framework?

Questions

- Applications of $\widehat{W}(K, N)$ to a sharper rate of conv. (when $K > 0$): e.g.,

$$W_2(\mu P_t, \nu) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{NK}{N-1}t\right) W_2(\mu, \nu)$$

- A self-improvement of $\widehat{W}(K, N)$ or $\widehat{W}'(K, N)$
e.g. a self-improvement of $\mathbf{BE}(K, \infty)$

$$\Rightarrow W_p(\mu P_t, \nu P_t) \leq W_p(\mu, \nu)$$

- $\widehat{W}(K, N)$ by coupling method
for diffusion processes (even on Riem. mfd)
- In another framework?

\mathcal{W} -entropy

- $\mathbf{W}(0, \infty) \Rightarrow I(\mu P_t) \searrow$
 $(I(\rho v) := \int \frac{|\nabla \rho|^2}{\rho} dv$: Fisher information)
- $\mathbf{W}(0, N) \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}(t) \searrow$ [K.]

$$\mathcal{W}(t) := tI(\mu P_t) - \text{Ent}(\mu P_t) - \frac{N}{2} \log t + c$$

(\mathcal{W} -entropy)

\mathcal{W} -entropy

- $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{0}, \infty) \Rightarrow I(\mu P_t) \searrow$
 $(I(\rho v) := \int \frac{|\nabla \rho|^2}{\rho} dv$: Fisher information)

- $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{0}, N) \Rightarrow \mathcal{W}(t) \searrow$ [K.]

$$\mathcal{W}(t) := tI(\mu P_t) - \text{Ent}(\mu P_t) - \frac{N}{2} \log t + c$$

(\mathcal{W} -entropy)

Q. $\widehat{\mathbf{W}}(K, N) \Rightarrow$ Monotonicity of some f'nal?